Malaysian Journal of Ergonomics
Volume 5 December 2023 [61-78]

Musculoskeletal Disorders: Industrial Insights and Ergonomic Interventions

Ahmad Humaizi Hilmi [1,a], Asna Rasyidah Abdul Hamid [1,a]

Fakulti Kejuruteraan & Teknologi Mekanikal, Universiti Malaysia Perlis
[a]humaizi@unimap.edu.my, [b]asnarasyidah@unimap.edu.my

Date Received: 29 Nov 2023, Date Revised: 28 Dec 2023, Date Accepted: 30 Dec 2023

ABSTRACT

In response to the rising incidence of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) across diverse work environments, extensive research has been undertaken. Within the apparel sector, initiatives have included workstation redesigns to alleviate worker strain. Emphasis has also been placed on formulating explicit ergonomic risk assessment standards, particularly for roles associated with heavy machinery, exemplified by container terminal operators. The agricultural domain, particularly manual farming activities in Haryana, India, has been studied to comprehend the distinct challenges encountered. The ergonomic implications for fish processing laborers, subjected to cold, damp conditions and repetitive tasks, have also been examined. Notably, the integration of biomechanics instrumentation in occupational health offers insights into refining human work-related movements for improved health. Scrutiny has been extended to varied workplaces, like flour production units and oil and gas enterprises, to discern MSD origins and propose corrective measures. With technological advancements, ergonomics now employs sophisticated tools such as computerized dynamometers for precise risk evaluations. Detailed biomechanics assessments further elucidate the physical demands on workers. Other industries, including textiles, have seen ergonomic appraisals aiming to diminish manual task strains, while the labor-intensive dairy farming sector has also been evaluated. Cumulatively, these comprehensive research endeavors pave the way for a more informed approach, suggesting preventive and mitigative strategies against MSDs across sectors.

Keywords: Musculoskeletal Disorders, Industrial Insights, Ergonomic

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ergonomics across varied sectors is instrumental in harmonizing employee well-being with optimal functionality. The impact of hands-on material tasks on occupational musculoskeletal complications has garnered extensive exploration, uncovering both strengths and gaps in conventional and modern risk assessment techniques. A myriad of domains, from apparel manufacturing to farming, grapple with specific challenges linked to these conditions, underscoring the need for sector-specific solutions. Different work environments, such as rail upkeep or chemical refinement, pose individual ergonomic dilemmas. It becomes imperative to ensure that as business sectors progress, their growth intertwines seamlessly with worker health, leveraging advanced techniques and biomechanical understandings. Moreover, the incorporation of aiding equipment in industrial settings, exemplified by devices like exoskeletons, highlights the urgency for preemptive strategies to curb musculoskeletal issues.

1.1 MANUAL MATERIAL HANDLING AND MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS: INDUSTRIAL PERSPECTIVES AND ERGONOMIC SOLUTIONS

Material Handling (MMH) activities, prevalent in sectors from construction to automobile manufacturing, are central to ergonomic inquiries due to the potential induction of work-related musculoskeletal ailments (WMSDs). Risk assessments in MMH are contingent on both conventional

observation techniques and cutting-edge technology. Notably, conventional techniques occasionally face reliability challenges stemming from the variability of individual discernment. On the other hand, technological tools like wearable inertial measurement devices offer the potential for continuous monitoring, bolstering the dependability and precision of such evaluations. In diverse industries, ranging from small-scale ventures in North Karnataka to dynamic automobile production lines, there's an amplified emphasis on refining ergonomic measures. This acknowledges that laborers experience physical stresses, which could negatively influence their well-being and work output. Forward-thinking strategies, such as novel task structuring, modern equipment configurations, and biomechanical analyses, are steadily being incorporated into professional settings. This incorporation aims to make MMH activities both secure and operative, fostering workspaces where safety and efficiency are intertwined.

Risk assessment precision is vital for reducing WMSDs resulting from MMH activities observed in diverse fields, including construction, vehicle assembly lines, and minor industrial ventures. A pivotal insight is derived from Bala Sujith Kumar and colleagues' 2021 study, which provided an extensive evaluation of ergonomic risk appraisal tools. This study not only emphasized the persistent requirement for risk evaluation but also accentuated the necessity to amalgamate recent technological breakthroughs like wearable inertial measurement devices, as illuminated by Humadi et al. (2021), for instantaneous tracking. Additionally, such innovations can potentially mend recognized shortcomings in prevalent assessment approaches, furnishing a comprehensive grasp of MMH task ergonomic needs. Merging time-honored and modern methods paves the way for enhancing MMH task ergonomics, thereby suggesting efficient ergonomic measures and introducing refined assessment methodologies to curtail WMSD-related reports (Bala Sujith Kumar et al., 2021). Acknowledgment of these complications and progressions is indispensable for industry participants and academic investigators, targeting more secure and adept MMH settings.

Conventional ergonomic risk assessment techniques, which primarily rely on observational strategies and subjective judgments, often face challenges concerning their repeatability and trustworthiness. Due to differing human perceptions or the quality of observation instruments utilized, these methods might produce inconsistent outcomes. To mitigate these irregularities and boost ergonomic evaluation reliability, Humadi et al. (2021) introduced an innovative approach using an inertial measurement unit (IMU) system, contrasting its performance with the prevalent motion-capture camera mechanism. This fresh approach leverages IMUs, electronic apparatuses that detect and convey an item's specific force, rotational speed, and occasionally alignment, through a mix of accelerometers and gyroscopes. In comparison with the motion-capture camera mechanism, the IMU system demonstrated its competence, especially when synchronized with a defined joint angle protocol. This synchronization and calibration permitted the IMU system to yield dependable ergonomic risk appraisal results, positioning it as a potential transformative tool in ergonomic assessments (Humadi et al., 2021). Adopting such modernized apparatuses might reshape ergonomic evaluation processes, leading to more uniform, objective, and trustworthy methods, lessening dependence on individual perceptions.

In many modest-sized industries, notably in areas such as North Karnataka, laborers routinely engage in strenuous manual material handling (MMH) actions. These endeavors not only exert pressure on laborers but also cultivate scenarios potentially resulting in work-associated musculoskeletal ailments (WMSDs), impinging on enduring health and work efficiency. Realizing the imminent obligation to tackle these risks, Humpli et al. (2021) initiated a thorough investigative approach, utilizing esteemed ergonomic risk evaluation instruments like RULA (Rapid Upper Limb Assessment), REBA (Rapid Entire Body Assessment), and the NIOSH lifting formula. Each instrument brings distinct perceptions: RULA mainly examines risks associated with upper torso postures, REBA conducts a comprehensive appraisal of the whole-body posture dangers, and the NIOSH lifting calculation focuses on biomechanical and physiological boundaries for secure lifting. Through detailed examination, Humpli et al. spotted areas of unease, emphasized perilous stances assumed by laborers, and marked occasions where lifting situations surpassed secure benchmarks. These discoveries underscored the urgent need for ergonomic measures precisely suited to the distinct hurdles encountered by laborers in North Karnataka's modest-sized industries. Catering to these issues might

not only uplift laborer well-being but also possibly contribute to enhanced operational efficiency, emphasizing the mutual benefits of ergonomics and industrial progress (Humpli et al., 2021).

In the vehicle manufacturing domain, characterized by rapid production lines and complex processes, operators often encounter heightened physical and mental tasks, which might culminate in job-associated strain, exhaustion, and musculoskeletal complications. Addressing this paramount concern, Moussavi et al. (2019) ventured into job structuring, introducing a unique task rotation technique that was not merely a shift in duties. It was diligently conceived to strategically distribute duties, taking into account intricacy, bodily demands, and requisite skills, with the central purpose of equitably spreading the workload amongst varied operators. This technique anchored itself in the ethos of balanced task allocation, confirming that no single laborer faces excessive pressure. Consequently, the ideal task rotation scheme became instrumental in balancing workloads among operators, fostering a synchronized working ambiance. This tactic not only created avenues for improving overall laborer health by possibly mitigating exhaustion and overwork but also suggested potential uplifts in output and effectiveness. Moreover, adopting such organized task rotation processes on a broader scale might transform labor management in different industries, emphasizing both laborer well-being and institutional proficiency (Moussavi et al., 2019).

Within ergonomic progress, customizing equipment to lessen bodily exertion has gained notable attention, especially for duties known for their demanding nature. A novel design approach was presented by Esteban Q.M. et al. (2020), who introduced a six-wheeled cart design. Beyond a mere visual transformation, this hexa-wheeled structure was meticulously crafted to balance load distribution, hence decreasing the energy needed for its operation. Significantly, the cart's design nuances centered on the mechanics of thrusting and dragging—two commonly executed and exertion-causing actions linked to manual operation tasks. Such a design might contribute to limiting prevalent concerns such as lumbar issues or muscular discomfort, frequent among individuals engaged in transport and physical tasks. By lessening the physical demands of such activities, the hexa-wheeled cart not only advocates for laborer well-being but could also enhance the overall work process by allowing individuals to accomplish their duties with greater ease and speed (Esteban Q.M. et al., 2020).

For marine fishers, danger isn't solely due to the sea's whims but also arises from their daily duties that bring notable biomechanical stresses. Silvetti et al. (2020) highlighted that fishers often engage in postures that not only induce discomfort but also surpass advised fatigue thresholds, thus increasing their vulnerability to enduring health complications, including musculoskeletal ailments. It's vital to grasp that their common tasks entail relentless pulling, hoisting, and turning, frequently with weighty burdens, all while on a shifting ship. Such operational scenarios intrinsically challenge the maintenance of ideal stances. From the investigation's insights, a clear necessity emerges to reconfigure the work conditions for these marine professionals. Incorporating team aid might offer solace through more even duty distribution. The inclusion of mechanized mechanisms might decrease the hands-on effort, especially for tasks known to cause significant stress. Furthermore, allocating sufficient recuperation periods isn't just about halts but offering the physique an opportunity to rejuvenate, a pivotal element for occupations with pronounced physical requisites. With these modifications, the sector might set the foundation for a more secure and enduring fishing milieu (Silvetti et al., 2020).

Exoskeletons, devices worn to enhance and assist human physical functions, are becoming popular, especially in MMH tasks. Di Natali et al. (2021) presented the innovative "equivalent weight" principle, a gauge that clarifies the impact of exoskeletons on ergonomic dangers during MMH duties. Utilizing this principle, it's feasible to ascertain how much lighter a burden feels to the wearer because of the exoskeleton, reducing the physical challenge tied with hoisting or transporting. Continual handling of substantial burdens is linked to musculoskeletal issues. Thus, making these burdens feel lighter through exoskeletons naturally lessens the likelihood of these health problems arising. The method spotlighted by Di Natali et al. suggests a standardized technique to assess ergonomic advantages of exoskeletons and underlines their prospective significance in shielding laborers in challenging scenarios (Di Natali et al., 2021).

In many sectors, specifically places such as PT. XYZ, the absence of apt instruments intensifies MMH task difficulties, leading workers to experience significant physical discomfort. Widodo et al. (2019) emphasized the emerging problem, noting numerous physical grievances shared by laborers due to these taxing endeavors. The present circumstances clearly call for immediate ergonomic measures to prioritize employee health. Incorporating proper material management equipment could alleviate these concerns, lessening physical demands and simultaneously boosting safety and work efficiency. Moreover, adequate guidance on tool utilization can help in decreasing potential mishaps, cultivating a more secure work atmosphere. By integrating these deliberate measures, industries might foster a more conducive and enduring work environment, potentially resulting in fewer compensation claims from employees and heightened work contentment (Widodo et al., 2019).

The study of biomechanics, delving into mechanical characteristics of human body movements, provides innovative resolutions for MMH task complexities. Based on Vijaywargiya & Bhiwapurkar's (2020) insights, biomechanical assessments emerge as essential instruments for examining MMH activities, identifying perilous scenarios, and devising potent approaches to curtail workplace afflictions. These examinations, by grasping detailed actions and burdens linked with distinct chores, can substantially uplift the safety metrics and effectiveness of manual material management processes. Moreover, their findings shed light on the profound repercussions of lower back discomfort on individuals, particularly its ability to substantially limit task execution. This type of discomfort, frequently observed among MMH-involved laborers, typically arises from incorrect postures, monotonous actions, or bearing hefty loads, thereby emphasizing the importance of biomechanical evaluations in these situations. Through leveraging biomechanical knowledge, sectors might innovate more user-friendly apparatus and work spaces, consequently diminishing the chief origins of such skeletal complications (Vijaywargiya & Bhiwapurkar, 2020).

Manual Material Handling, observed in areas ranging from building sites to vehicle manufacturing, often incurs unique ergonomic difficulties resulting in occupation-related skeletal issues. While classical observational techniques in MMH remain vital, they sometimes display irregularities due to the subjective nature of individual assessments. Conversely, recent technological breakthroughs facilitate improved supervision, guaranteeing more accurate danger evaluations. Merging forward-thinking methods, such as enhanced apparatus blueprints, biomechanical scrutiny, and pioneering work blueprints, stands crucial in varied sectors, accentuating the pressing demand to reconcile laborer protection with peak efficiency. Venturing into the ensuing segment, it becomes clear that skeletal issues infiltrate multiple occupational realms. Tackling these demands a profound comprehension of sector-specific hurdles and nuances, stressing the urgent call for bespoke solutions that blend ergonomic tenets with functional schemes, ensuring a balanced and health-focused work setting.

1.2 MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS IN DIVERSE WORKPLACE SECTORS: INTERVENTIONS AND IMPACTS

Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) have risen as common health issues in diverse sectors, each displaying distinct hurdles and intricacies. In the domain of clothing accessories, notably in smaller enterprises, recurring activities and unsuitably crafted workspaces are seen as main instigators for the escalation of MSDs. A fresh methodology, termed the Ergo-Lean model, underlines the essence of merging ergonomic guidelines with functional efficacies to bolster employee health while amplifying output. Conversely, the vast milk-producing domain faces MSD complications accentuated by incorrect occupational stances. In-depth examinations reveal the advantages of intertwining ergonomic approaches with deliberate administrative choices, evidencing noticeable enhancements in laborer stance and holistic well-being. In the farming realm, particularly in locales like Haryana, India, cotton cultivation exposes workers to specific job-related threats. Manual cotton collection gets associated with MSDs and hypersensitive reactions, given the task nature and pesticide contact. Initiatives centered on cognizance, safeguarding, and ergonomic techniques adjusted for cotton collection can usher noteworthy change. In a similar vein, the grain processing industry encounters MSD challenges, necessitating bespoke remedial measures. Specialized strategies, fusing technological remedies with

supervisory discernments, display considerable achievements in diminishing MSDs and cultivating a salubrious occupational setting across domains. This synopsis endeavors to elucidate the diverse manners in which sectors confront and tackle MSD-related obstacles, spotlighting the significance of domain-specific solutions and their extensive repercussions.

In the aggressive domain of clothing accessory businesses, small to medium-sized establishments often face the intertwined dilemma of safeguarding laborer health and preserving peak efficiency. A predominant hurdle for these businesses is the heightened occurrence of musculoskeletal ailments (MSDs), chiefly originating from monotonous chores and inappropriately structured ergonomic spaces. Endeavoring for enduring remedies, Quiroz et al. (2021) brought forth the innovative Ergo-Lean framework, a blend of ergonomic doctrines and Lean fabrication methods. This combination strived to uplift the physical health of laborers while fine-tuning the work protocols for elevated efficacy. The consequences of enacting this structure were praiseworthy. Observations included a significant decrease in MSD-related complications, diminished absentee rates, and a discernible cutback in operational expenditures. Such findings accentuate the inherent merit of intertwining ergonomic layout with efficient work procedures. Given the obvious achievements of the Ergo-Lean approach in this scenario, it shines as a guiding light for more clothing accessory businesses of a similar scale. By adopting and molding this methodology, these businesses could possibly experience expanded benefits, ranging from heightened employee contentment and wellness to amplified work efficiency and fiscal prudence (Quiroz, Aquino, Rodriguez, & Montoya, 2021).

In the expansive realm of the milk production sector, vital for the international nourishment supply chain, many complexities arise, notably concerning musculoskeletal ailments (MSDs) and less than optimal laboring postures, both factors detrimentally influencing laborer health and output levels. Venturing to deeply understand this predicament, Karimi et al. (2020) initiated an in-depth exploration, blending ergonomic methodologies with managerial strategy resolutions, concentrating on laborer wellness in a distinct milk-producing establishment. By utilizing detailed evaluation instruments such as the Cornell Musculoskeletal Discomfort Questionnaire (CMDQ) and the Quick Exposure Check (QEC) posture inspection technique, diverse aspects of existing challenges were brought to light. The outcomes were revealing — there was a noticeable improvement in working postures and a significant dip in musculoskeletal discomfort experienced by laborers. Such revelations emphasize the efficacy of broad-spectrum interventions that intertwine ergonomic doctrines with executive supervision. Yet, to attain a global applicability of such methods, broadening investigative scopes remains crucial. Replicating such an exploration in a range of scenarios, encompassing different production units and even separate production domains, is vital. Additionally, understanding the lasting effects of such amalgamated strategies, coupled with delving into the complex network of organizational and emotional dynamics, will play a decisive role in creating plans that comprehensively ameliorate musculoskeletal health in production settings (Karimi, Mahaki, Ebrahimi, Bastami, Pouya, Kasraei, & Barkhordari, 2020).

In the agricultural domain, pivotal for numerous economic structures, distinct occupational risks emerge, notably within the domain of cotton cultivation due to its demanding manual labor. Areas, for instance, Haryana in India, where this crop is paramount, unveil a range of health tribulations among cultivators. Kamble et al. (2022) in a notable exploration unearthed that individuals involved in hand-picking cotton displayed a heightened susceptibility to labor-induced musculoskeletal ailments (WRMSDs) as well as multiple allergic symptoms. Such health concerns didn't arise by chance but were consequent to the inherent task requirements. Cultivators typically sustain extended periods in bent stances, which when juxtaposed with repeated hand activities, become precursors to WRMSDs. Additionally, consistent contact with chemicals, frequently sans adequate protective attire, amplifies these health risks, inducing various allergic manifestations. This existing scenario clearly jeopardizes cultivator wellness. Navigating these issues demands a diversified strategy. The urgency to apprise cultivators of job-related perils and the significance of safety protocols is palpable. The incorporation of ergonomically-designed instruments and procedures bespoke for cotton collection can markedly diminish physical hardships. Furthermore, a coordinated initiative to acquaint cultivators with the adverse repercussions of chemical usage, harmonized with advocating for nature-centric cultivation methods, might emerge as a dual-pronged approach, conserving both individual well-being and

nature. The trajectory of cotton cultivation in zones akin to Haryana rests on endorsing such encompassing measures to shield its prime resource: the cultivators (Kamble, Neha, Vinu Vimal, & Pandit, 2022).

In the domain of food processing, flour creation holds significance, yet it confronts issues tied to laborer health, notably musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs). Hemati et al. (2020) undertook an exploration into these concerns and launched an ergonomically-designed alleviation scheme tailored for the flour creation environment. The scheme didn't limit its focus to just the tactile dimensions; it adeptly amalgamated technical remedies with administrative blueprints for a comprehensive alleviation strategy. The consequent effects were indeed impressive. Plant laborers observed a notable reduction in MSDs in multiple body regions, attesting to the scheme's aptness. Such outcomes accentuate a crucial realization: while ergonomic predicaments might span industries, rectifying them demands adjustments aligned to each sector's distinct attributes. Pertaining to flour creation, remedies might span the spectrum from reconfiguring apparatus for ergonomic compatibility, edifying sessions for laborers about ideal stances and hoisting practices, to administrative measures accentuating periodic respites and health evaluations. The anticipatory stance manifested in this exploration stands as a reference for diverse sectors, underlining the requisite for sector-oriented ergonomic remedies that both bolster laborer protection and elevate work efficiency. The path to a secure and wholesome working ambiance, as delineated by Hemati et al., emerges through a judicious mix of technical prowess and sagacious administrative maneuvers (Hemati, Darbandi, Kabir-Mokamelkhah, Poursadeghiyan, Ghasemi, Mohseni-Ezhiye, Abdolahian, Aghilinejad, Salehi, & Dehghan, 2020).

Across numerous sectors, including clothing accessories, agriculture, and flour production, MSDs have risen as dominant health worries, each encompassing unique tribulations. Continuous activities, inadequately structured surroundings, and interactions with environmental risks intensify these apprehensions. Crucially, corrective actions combining ergonomic tenets with sector-relevant wisdom, exemplified by frameworks like the Ergo-Lean model and distinct protective protocols, are indispensable for mitigating such disorders. These specified corrective measures highlight the importance of synergizing ergonomic techniques with administrative tactics, crafting a thorough strategy to both fortify laborer wellness and heighten work efficiency. Such insights lay the groundwork for expanded investigations into the vast implications of MSDs in different work milieus, delving deeper in the ensuing segment, and accentuating the significance of specified ergonomic strategies in varied occupational contexts.

1.3 MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS IN OCCUPATIONAL SETTINGS: INSIGHTS AND ERGONOMIC INTERVENTIONS

In diverse professional sectors, musculoskeletal ailments have emerged as pressing issues. Activities like intense manual labor in India's railway upkeep or complex undertakings in intralogistics typify such challenges. Every domain, whether it's chemical treatments or waste disposal, confronts distinct ergonomic difficulties, frequently resulting in bodily discomfort and possible enduring health adversities. Track caretakers, for example, often assume demanding positions affecting their lumbar region and knees. Chemical facility laborers, managing hefty containers, face heightened bodily stress, while packaging professionals encounter ergonomic perils linked to hand-wrapping. In small-scale enterprises of North Karnataka, manual endeavors and recurring actions lead to considerable ergonomic problems. The building sector of Tamil Nadu, critical for the region's financial health, presents numerous ergonomic challenges rooted in the intrinsic construction activities. Lumberjack roles, essential to countryside financial structures, have demanding physical requirements in rugged landscapes, whereas in the Philippines, waste disposal agents deal with a multitude of issues, intensified by the humid weather. In the intralogistics sphere, manual order arrangement emerges as a stress-inducing repetitive job, prompting the advent of movement capture tools for refined ergonomic analysis. The manual materials handling field has seen the rise of unpowered spine-support exoskeletons to diminish discomfort and augment laborer abilities. In these domains, even though certain corrective actions have been suggested and explored, a comprehensive strategy focusing on

industry-specific ergonomic remedies remains crucial for preserving laborer well-being, safety, and output efficiency.

Railway track caretakers in India face a pronounced frequency and severity of job-related physical ailments and musculoskeletal complications. These issues stem from repeatedly adopting straining stances, impacting regions like the lumbar spine, knees, wrists, and shoulder areas (Das B., 2020). The strenuous character of their duties typically encompasses manual object management, hefting, and overseeing tracks amidst diverse atmospheric conditions. Research from alternative sectors, like chemical treatments, similarly spotlight the perils of musculoskeletal complications stemming from actions that entail inconvenient stances, rotation, arching, and confined operational areas (Yadi Y.H., Kurniawidjaja L.M., 2019). Observations from such sectors emphasize the pivotal role of workplace ergonomics. Proposals to tackle these challenges include instructive sessions, tool adjustments, periodic task alternations, and stance-focused emphasis. These measures aim to cater to the tangible job facets and also the work setting configuration, suggesting introductions of modifiable conveyor systems and carts for improved stance adaptability (Yadi Y.H., Kurniawidjaja L.M., 2019). Furthermore, given the analogous difficulties encountered by laborers in multiple domains, a dire need for a universal ergonomic design strategy is evident. Upcoming research could probe the effectiveness of these ergonomic measures, reflecting on long-standing feasibility and the nexus between institutional and social-psychological facets (Das B., 2020). With the global professional landscape in flux, comprehending and addressing these ergonomic predicaments become indispensable for safeguarding laborer welfare and optimizing output efficiency.

In the domain of chemical treatments, manually managing materials brings forth challenges such as inconvenient stances, limited operational spaces, and strenuous job conditions. The domain frequently requires movement and adjustment of bulky containers, substances, and apparatus, escalating the pressure on the workers' physical framework. Such labor-intensive duties amplify the incidence of physical ailments and persistent health issues, underscoring the immediate need for ergonomic measures. To tackle these ergonomic obstacles, adaptable conveyor systems, carts, and semi-automated hefty instruments have been developed, with the goal of refining work stances and curtailing related perils (Yadi Y.H. & Kurniawidjaja L.M., 2019). Through the deployment of these apparatuses, chemical establishments aspire to lessen actions like hefting, arching, and rotating, thus drastically curtailing the ergonomic risks workers confront. The utilization of such equipment also amplifies operational effectiveness and output, showcasing a synthesis of laborer welfare and procedural advantages. Focus must orient towards the real-world application of these configurations, while ensuing explorations can appraise the sustained potency of such strategies. Routine assessments and input from the labor force can foster ongoing enhancements in these ergonomic configurations, whereas synergies between scholars and sector experts may birth novel solutions to address the continuous metamorphosis of challenges.

In the realm of packaging, traditional methods of plastic envelopment present ergonomic complications. Laborers often grapple with repetitive motions like arching, reaching, and rotating, contributing to physical system disorders over prolonged durations. This scenario becomes especially noticeable when hand-wrapping of sizable and hefty articles necessitates, exacerbating the pressure on areas like the lumbar region, deltoids, and carpal regions. Utilizing the Nordic Body Map coupled with the REBA (Rapid Entire Body Assessment) techniques, investigators discerned particular bodily zones most prone to tension during the envelopment activities. Such evaluation instruments offer a holistic perspective of the physiological response to different undertakings, shedding light on potential design refinements. Stemming from these findings, an innovative envelopment cart blueprint has emerged, tailored to alleviate the pinpointed challenges. This novel configuration demonstrates promise in curtailing ergonomic perils and amplifying output efficiency (Syafei M.Y. et al., 2023). The reconfigured cart, outfitted with mechanisms allowing height adjustment and effortless mobility, underscores laborer well-being and protection. In addition, by optimizing the envelopment technique, enterprises might anticipate not merely a robust labor force but also heightened task efficiency. Upcoming research endeavors are advised to evaluate this configuration in tangible industrial contexts, gauging its feasibility and spotting areas for further ergonomic fine-tuning. Gathering insights from the laborers

engaging directly with these setups proves crucial in honing the blueprint to aptly cater to sector requisites, fostering a more physiologically harmonious work milieu.

In North Karnataka's vibrant industrial milieu, petite-scale sectors contribute significantly to regional economic growth. Nevertheless, laborers in these sectors grapple with numerous issues rooted in routine manual material management endeavors. The physical toll of such activities, amplified by extended durations of redundant motions, culminates in critical ergonomic apprehensions. Absent apt interventions, these undertakings might intensify musculoskeletal disorder risks, targeting the vertebral column, deltoids, and patellas. Tackling this quandary, scholars have leveraged ergonomic evaluation instruments such as RULA (Rapid Upper Limb Assessment), REBA (Rapid Entire Body Assessment), and the NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health) lift computation. These instruments facilitate detailed scrutiny of laborer stances, physiological movements, and hoisting methods. Investigations led by Humpli A.S. et al. (2021) illuminated hazardous stances, discomfort zones, and treacherous hoisting circumstances dominant in these sectors. Equipped with this intelligence, sectors might pursue enlightened determinations regarding workplace metamorphosis, gear alterations, and laborer coaching. Moreover, harmonized efforts among laborers, administrative heads, and scholars prove vital in crafting sector-specific ergonomic resolutions. Subsequent scholarly pursuits might focus on applying ergonomic stratagems, enhancing cognizance among laborers and administrators, and assessing the comprehensive merits of such stratagems. Initiating such preventive actions not only signals enhanced laborer well-being but also insinuates probable progress in throughput and total operational prowess for these sectors.

Domestic building activities, prominently burgeoning in Tamil Nadu, substantially underpin the economic matrix of the state. However, laborers facilitating this sector's growth face multifaceted ergonomic predicaments that might culminate in detrimental enduring health ramifications. Intrinsic attributes of construction endeavors—such as curvature, hoisting weighty items, operating within limited expanses, and monotonous tasks—expose them to potential musculoskeletal afflictions, predominantly targeting vertebral regions, deltoids, and patellas. Activities like manual item management and brickwork emerge as notably alarming in this context. Delving into this milieu, Kathiravan S. & Gunarani G.I. (2018) executed an in-depth examination of construction ergonomics within the locale, accentuating the emergent demand for remedial measures. Their revelations spotlight the urgency to recalibrate prevalent task methodologies and apparatus configurations to champion laborer safety and prosperity. A mounting concurrence among pundits and sector chieftains advocates for weaving ergonomic contemplations into the residential building modus operandi's nucleus. This approach necessitates addressing not only tangible hazards but also provisioning laborers with apt instruments, enlightenment, and skills training. Prospective scholarly trajectories might envelope the materialization and evaluation of such ergonomic tactics, accentuating tangible utility, patron endorsement, and enduring health and efficiency outcomes. Incorporating ergonomic principles in domestic construction might herald a new era characterized by enhanced laborer health, diminished on-site mishaps, and a more resilient sector blueprint.

Woodland extraction, pivotal for hinterland economic structures, is undoubtedly arduous. Amid varying landscapes, laborers recurrently confront situations compelling them to assume unusual stances, endure burdens, and utilize apparatus potentially lacking ergonomic considerations. Such rigorous endeavors, when amalgamated with the rugged, erratic characteristics of rural topography, augment the physical duress experienced by these individuals. Probing into this, Schettino S. et al. (2021) have provided an exhaustive understanding of such adversities. Their elucidations emphasize the considerable physical demands forest gatherers grapple with, underscoring concerning spinal afflictions and emergent musculoskeletal maladies. In addition to direct physical impacts, ambient factors including fluctuating climatic conditions, moisture levels, and insect encounters can magnify existing challenges. It becomes crucial for concerned entities, ranging from industry front-runners to decision-makers, to fathom these health perils' magnitude and jointly sculpt pragmatic remedies. Ensuing academic endeavors should endeavor to pinpoint remedial measures while simultaneously confirming their potency and reachability for the laborers in these pastoral contexts. Tackling these ergonomic quandaries can not only assuage recognized perils but also nurture a milieu wherein laborer

welfare takes precedence, establishing a resilient and salubrious trajectory for the woodland extraction sector.

In urban centers and tranquil Philippine hinterlands, waste collection emerges as an indispensable duty that frequently remains overshadowed. Laborers in this sector grapple with an array of potential perils, traversing erratic landscapes and handling diverse refuse, some posing toxic or biologically active threats. Studies spearheaded by Gumasing M.J.J. & Sasot Z.B. (2019) delve deep into these adversities, discerning an intricate network of danger parameters that heighten these laborers' susceptibility. Notably, ambient conditions, with the Philippine's humid tropical ambiance marked by searing temperatures and recurrent rainfalls, can escalate task complexities and associated mishap risks. Protracted work durations, occasionally due to overwhelming refuse quantities or less streamlined pathways, further amplify exposure to dangers, raising the likelihood of exhaustion-related errors. The paucity or subpar quality of protective gear adds another dimension of worry, rendering laborers defenseless against the multitude of risks encountered. Moving forward, a convergence of efforts among scholars, decision-makers, and industry representatives becomes paramount. Prioritization should lie in devising, implementing, and meticulously authenticating hazard counteraction blueprints, ensuring they cater to and combat the distinct challenges of waste collection in the Philippines. Such concerted efforts can culminate in safeguarding the welfare of these invaluable laborers.

Within facilities, the discipline of intralogistics centers on streamlining and managing internal logistical movements, becoming a linchpin for operational productivity. Notably, the task of manual order picking emerges as particularly strenuous. Laborers consistently maneuver, stretch, hoist, and transport, traversing lanes and racks to retrieve goods. Prolonged repetitions of these actions can result in a plethora of musculoskeletal ailments, jeopardizing laborer health and welfare. Acknowledging this predicament, Feldmann F. et al. (2019) introduced the novel application of motion capture mechanisms within this sphere. Traditionally linked with domains like animation and athletic biomechanics, this technology holds promise for accurately recording and scrutinizing the subtle gestures of order gatherers. Consequently, a novel digital tool for ergonomics has been fashioned, facilitating an unparalleled and consistent assessment of bodily stances. Such breakthroughs not only signify progress in ergonomic appraisals but might also incite discerning perceptions leading to process overhauls. As explorations in this domain amplify, subsequent studies should zoom into the practicality of this digital instrument. A critical juxtaposition against age-old ergonomic assessment methods is essential, unveiling merits, constraints, and potential collaboration between both modalities. In a larger frame, such pioneering efforts accentuate the transformative capability of technological tools in reshaping occupational health and protective measures.

Handling materials manually, a common practice in areas from production to distribution, is a foundational operation that comes with notable ergonomic hurdles. Continuous and repeated hoisting, especially in non-standard positions, places intense pressure on the body's skeletal structure, heightening the likelihood of severe ailments. In the quest to bolster laborer protection and enhance their potential, passive back-support exoskeletons have been introduced as a novel technological advancement. This mechanism, which evokes imagery from futuristic narratives, aims to mechanically aid the user's motions without necessitating powered interventions. Schmalz T. et al. (2022) through thorough scrutiny, disclosed optimistic findings linked to these mechanical aids. Their quantitative evidence particularly emphasized notable dips in physical stress and energy consumption, which, when applied practically, suggests diminished tiredness and probable upsurge in work output. Furthermore, the initial insights accentuate the exoskeleton's significant contribution to lowering the predisposition to occupational skeletal ailments, a recurrent issue in manual functions. Yet, even with these initial positive indicators, the voyage of seamlessly incorporating exoskeletons into industrial terrains is still budding. Subsequent scholarly pursuits ought to probe the extended practicality of exoskeletons, pondering aspects such as user comfort, fit for varied physiques, and durability. Given the distinctive characteristics of various industries, examinations focused on the mechanical suits' flexibility and relevance in assorted tasks, surroundings, and areas become crucial. Comprehensive evaluations like these are essential to discerning the authentic capacity of exoskeletons to transform the landscape of manual material operations.

1.4 BALANCING PERFORMANCE WITH WORKER HEALTH: ERGONOMIC INTERVENTIONS AND INDUSTRIAL IMPLICATIONS

In the fluctuating realm of factory processes, harmonizing work enhancement with laborer safety remains an essential matter. Intense task demands, especially in recurring and tedious environments like car production lines, heighten the susceptibility to skeletal ailments (MSDs), influencing both personal health and firm performance. To navigate these concerns, novel strategies arise, including the balanced task rotation method, striving for a fair allotment of daily duties among workers. Concurrently, the intertwining of body mechanics and ergonomic perspectives presents a rejuvenated understanding of MSDs. In this context, the intricate workings of the human physique, scrutinized using modern tools, shed light on the repercussions of physical pressures on laborers, heralding redesigned work environments anchored in ergonomic tenets. Especially in sectors demanding significant manual labor, as showcased by brickfield professionals in West Bengal, the melding of biomechanical knowledge with ergonomic applications signifies a hopeful path for reducing hazards and achieving a concord between work output and laborer health.

Moussavi, Zare, Mahdjoub, and Grunder (2019) scrutinized the car production line, a realm marked by intensified laborer demands and repetitiveness, similar to many factory contexts where repeated actions and extended duties prevail. Such surroundings frequently escalate the likelihood of MSDs, influencing individual health and cumulative output, a notion resonated in diverse workplace health investigations. The deep nexus between task routines and skeletal ailments has beckoned inquiries for many years, highlighting the urgency for adept countermeasures. The scholars proposed a superior task rotation method to address these issues, a tactic stemming from preceding endeavors to counteract the adverse consequences of unmoving labor stances. With a thorough ergonomic assessment, fusing principles from both traditional and modern ergonomic studies, and arithmetic schematics, a plan was structured that aptly equalized daily tasks among laborers. Harnessing data-centric techniques, mixed integer programming was employed to conceptualize an adept mechanism. Notably, the accumulation and variance of total tasks were diminished, aligning with the wider aspiration of balanced task allocation in production sequences. Shifts were orchestrated within specified clusters, a methodical tactic guaranteeing steady expertise employment and curbing interruptions. Such methodology not only amplified operational fluidity but also bolstered overall laborer health, mirroring opinions of ergonomic aficionados vouching for encompassing health in job environments. Yet, these primary outcomes present a vista for subsequent inquiries to probe further, enhancing the bedrock established by ergonomic trailblazers. Inspecting the enduring effects of this shift system on health and job contentment, amalgamating narrative data and laborer reflections, might reveal richer details. Moreover, juxtapositions spanning distinct sectors, factoring worldwide variations and cultural intricacies, might proffer a well-rounded grasp of the potency of various shift tactics and their impact on both output and laborer wellness, guiding the trajectory of ergonomically adept job atmospheres.

An additional perspective to this dilemma is the involvement of biomechanics for discerning and tackling MSDs. Biomechanics, as a field, probes into the machinery of the human physique, clarifying how tangible pressures influence bodily frameworks. Harnessing core principles from physics and engineering, this discipline furnishes profound glimpses into human kinetics and linked pressures. In an exhaustive investigation, Kotadiya, Majumder, and Kumar (2021) highlighted the importance of biomechanical tools in workplace health studies. This broad probe spotlighted how these apparatuses can illuminate the complex motions of humans during work tasks. The outcomes stressed the tool's capability in examining elements like stance scrutiny, weight management, muscle strain, equilibrium, and steadfastness, all essential for grasping ergonomic concepts. Monitoring these components is crucial for crafting work areas, instruments, and methods that resonate with human body's innate machinery, thus diminishing harm likelihood. This inquiry's multidisciplinary tactic—fusing biomechanics and ergonomics—emphasizes collaborative endeavors' crucial role in identifying and diminishing job hazards. This synergy reiterates the ancient saying that combined entities possess might beyond individual fragments; by amalgamating insights from diverse domains, scholars can forge expansive and potent remedies. Biomechanical tools' capability emerges as a central instrument

for shaping ergonomic measures and shielding laborers. These devices present a numerical method to assess ergonomic threats, surpassing mere visual evaluations to supply tangible evidence for counteractions. Looking ahead, the amalgamation of digital tools, wearable gadgets, and advancements in biomechanical devices could transform real-time observation and feedback mechanisms. This blending of innovations might pave the way for a fresh chapter in workplace health, offering anticipatory and flexible solutions adjusting instantaneously to laborer requisites, ensuring a secure and efficient work setting for everyone.

A notable study zeroed in on female brickfield laborers in West Bengal, India. This group, resembling many manual toilers worldwide, confronts roles demanding recurring motions, hefty haulage, and extended durations in consistent stances—elements contributing to MSDs. The physical rigors, paired with factors like unsuitable gear, lacking orientation, and at times harsh working circumstances, magnify the hazards. Sett, Pal, Majumdar, Bhattacharyya, and Sahu (2022) adopted a projected biomechanical strategy to measure the musculoskeletal unease these laborers underwent in tasks such as load management and brick ferrying. Utilizing instruments like the Body Part Discomfort Scale and motion captures, kinetic and kinematic aspects related to their toils were evaluated. The outcomes underlined the heightened vulnerability to MSDs due to detrimental trunk tilt angles and intensified flexing forces at the lumbosacral region. These angles and forces signal lumbar spine strain and, if persistently encountered, can hasten deterioration, culminating in lasting ailments or sharp traumas. Acknowledging such frailties, the scholars proposed ergonomic adjustments. These modifications, rooted in biomechanics and ergonomic tenets, aspire to reshape chores or usher in aiding gadgets to make job demands harmonize with laborers' physical abilities. Ideas like relay brick transfers and pole-aided brick transports surfaced as potential measures to lessen discomfort incidence. Such strategies focus on equitably spreading the weight and honing the biomechanical stance, thus alleviating the burden on the body framework. In future projections, the tangible incorporation and scrutiny of these ergonomic shifts could yield actual declines in job-related MSDs for brickfield laborers. Their adoption might craft a model for other work-intensive sectors, spotlighting ergonomics' role in bolstering laborer well-being and safety.

Industries are gradually discerning the vital function of ergonomics in synchronizing operational efficiency and worker wellness, shifting focus to solutions interlacing biomechanical observations with practicable ergonomic methods. The gravity of discerning the physical strains laborers face, especially in recurring industrial backdrops, prompts a loud appeal for transformative instruments and adjustments. Incorporating ergonomic tenets within work domains doesn't merely enhance individual health but also establishes a cornerstone for organizational advancement. Stemming from this primary grasp of equilibrating productivity with health, it unveils that an essential progression is to holistically venture into the realm of apparatuses and supporting gadgets. As examined further, these hold the promise to redefine approaches in curbing musculoskeletal disorders and cultivating secure, more productive industrial ambiances.

1.5 TOOLS AND ASSISTIVE DEVICES FOR MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDER PREVENTION IN INDUSTRIAL SETTINGS

Within broad industrial contexts, the deterrence of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) remains at the forefront, chiefly due to crucial findings from myriad research and explorations. Central to these efforts are pioneering apparatuses and supporting gadgets meticulously crafted to reform the ergonomic environment. A closer examination of the Indian food storage sector reveals notable concerns, such as unconventional stances and regular manual object management, which underscore the profound significance of ergonomics. Such problems encounter ground-breaking solutions, encompassing careful task alterations and the incorporation of novel handling systems, which could transform work standards. Additionally, exoskeletons emerge as noteworthy prospects in mitigating workplace MSDs, showcasing exceptional potential in diminishing muscle discomfort and exhaustion. However, despite their vast promise, aspects like their heft and design intricacies call for additional refinement. In niche domains like chemical production, customized ergonomic adjustments present the potential to revolutionize established norms, pushing for apparatuses that accentuate ideal working

stances. Simultaneously, in edifice and supply chain sectors, the integration of recognized evaluation apparatuses such as RULA and REBA highlights urgent ergonomic shortfalls, emphasizing the immediate requirement for anticipatory adjustments. These discoveries converge to emphasize the profound influence of ergonomics, not merely in health domains but spanning varied sectors. Such discernments validate the endless possibilities and imperative of ceaselessly honing and advancing the sphere of industrial ergonomics.

In an exhaustive ergonomic examination of an Indian food storage facility, Adhaye and Jolhe (2023) spotlighted significant obstacles faced by laborers, such as unconventional stances and regular manual object management. Grounded in a detailed utilization of the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire along with other trusted techniques, the urgency to address prevalent discomfort and musculoskeletal afflictions among workers became evident. Consequently, a multi-faceted strategy was adopted, identifying pivotal areas needing attention like the manner of object transport and frequent movement routes. The research strongly proposed the incorporation of efficient handling systems and supportive gadgets, which hold the potential to restructure existing work standards, thereby cultivating a more conducive and secure work setting. Task modifications were highlighted as a central suggestion to significantly mitigate physical discomfort and possible harm linked with present practices. Additionally, refining movement routes was identified as essential, as it can simplify operations and lessen health hazards linked to demanding tasks. Moving forward, the importance of widening research horizons is emphasized. Upcoming studies should consider an all-encompassing perspective, diligently assessing the efficacy and feasibility of these advices not only in comparable storage environments but also in sectors with analogous difficulties, aiming to enhance global work environments. Furthermore, the relevance of the described ergonomic evaluation technique across varied work terrains may lead to transformative strides in workplace protection and worker wellness.

Exoskeleton devices, especially the ones crafted as passive spine support systems, are advancing as pivotal solutions against workplace musculoskeletal afflictions (WMSDs). In recent analysis by Madinei et al. (2022), modernized computer dynamometer methods were adeptly employed to precisely observe torque attributes of diverse exoskeleton tools. These deep observations revealed marked variations in torque strengths across models, which might impact user interaction and device efficacy. Concurrently, Kim et al. (2021) undertook an exhaustive exploration of an active spine support exoskeleton. Drawing from electromyography details, their discoveries showed a significant drop in muscle action when the exoskeleton was utilized, and wearers sensed considerably less effort exerted. Nonetheless, despite these optimistic findings, certain challenges were apparent. The exoskeleton's heaviness was a dominant issue, possibly limiting its broader use due to concerns related to mobility and ease. On another trajectory, Iranzo et al. (2022) centered their study on assessing a passive lower spine exoskeleton. Their probing emphasized the tool's outstanding ability in lessening muscle weariness, particularly during manual operations. Owing to this, the device indicated its capability to significantly reduce the risk of laborers encountering WMSDs, a recurring concern in multiple sectors. Integrating these insights, it's clear that while exoskeletons harbor significant potential, deeper investigation is essential. Future scholarly pursuits should prioritize enhancing these exoskeleton structures and elucidate potential long-standing impacts, both regarding wearer's ease and reducing health risks, thus paving the path for ergonomic advancements in the industrial sector.

In the industrial ergonomics domain, certain sectors pose distinct hurdles. Within the chemical processing sphere, Yadi and Kurniawidjaja (2019) launched an effort to counter the pervasive issues of MSDs. Observing that many of these ailments stem from less than ideal working positions and methods, their study focused on designing specialized manual material handling instruments. Through this, their ambition was to reconfigure the ergonomic framework, advocating for instruments that promote better work stances, thus reducing inherent risks in such environments. Such actions highlight the remarkable potential of custom ergonomic solutions, significantly altering traditional work methodologies in these surroundings. In a similar timeframe, Susihono et al. (2018) directed their academic attention to the challenges faced by filling workers, a specialized yet crucial component of the industrial labor force. Their research portrayed a worrisome scenario, enumerating the numerous musculoskeletal challenges afflicting these personnel. This led to an urgent appeal for crafting a solid standard operational method (SOP). Integrating this SOP with fundamental ergonomic concepts, it

would rise above simple recommendations, acting as a shield for the health of these filling professionals. Such revelations bring forth a deep acknowledgment: the interlink between ergonomics and industrial practices is not just advantageous but essential. It's evident that initial studies and changes, though innovative, mark just the beginning. A shared understanding from both investigations emphasizes the pressing requirement for comprehensive, practical appraisals of these fresh ergonomic approaches. Only with such detailed examinations can one gauge the true potency and longevity of these initiatives, setting the foundation for a secure and ergonomic-centric future for industrial personnel.

In today's evolving society, construction and logistics serve as essential elements, presenting unique issues and requirements. Exploring the complexities of home building, Kathiravan and Gunarani (2018) offered a significant insight, harnessing the capabilities of RULA and REBA instruments. These techniques, recognized for their ergonomic evaluation abilities, highlighted the pressing concerns at building sites. The in-depth scrutiny by Kathiravan and Gunarani revealed widespread ergonomic concerns, emphasizing the urgent necessity for drastic changes. The presented numbers and information indicated the pressing requirements: without swift and forward-thinking action, construction laborers may face poor working postures, increasing musculoskeletal disorder chances. Concurrently, the logistics domain also witnessed ergonomic examinations. Nabil and Dahda (2022) examined this sector in detail, focusing especially on the critical packaging procedure. Utilizing the REBA approach, their study brought to light the postural issues faced by workers. It was clear that the monotonous and demanding nature of packaging roles exposed workers to risks, calling for urgent ergonomic modifications. Insights from these investigations unite in a plea for innovation in both fields. The goal isn't just to refine methods but to completely reconsider them, prioritizing laborer health. Based on the knowledge from these key studies, both construction and logistics industries stand on the verge of an ergonomic transformation, inviting academic researchers, involved parties, and creative minds to delve deeper and improve.

3.0 CONCLUSION

In the expansive domain of "Musculoskeletal Disorders: Industrial Insights and Ergonomic Interventions," ergonomics emerges as a cornerstone, significantly impacting the health of workers and determining the fluidity of operations across industries. Probing into the intricacies of manual material handling tasks reveals the magnitude of risks related to musculoskeletal disorders, enveloping sectors as varied as clothing accessories, agricultural realms, and even specialized domains such as tea-plucking. The unique challenges thrown up by these diverse sectors have catalyzed the adoption of groundbreaking methodologies. The incorporation of techniques like the Rapid Upper Limb Assessment (RULA), Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA), and the Nordic Questionnaire underscores the industry's commitment to understanding and mitigating these risks. These pivotal tools, when combined with in-depth observational studies, the NIOSH Lifting Equation, and even advancements in understanding the role of organizational dynamics in musculoskeletal health, equip industries with a panoramic view of ergonomic challenges. As technological evolution surges forward, the integration of sophisticated instruments like biomechanics instrumentation, Kinect-based solutions, and wearable sensors offers a quantum leap in proactive and instantaneous risk assessment, revolutionizing the traditional paradigms of monitoring and feedback. The synthesis of these cutting-edge methodologies, technological marvels, and empirical insights provides a robust framework, propelling industries towards a paradigm where the health of their workforce is at the forefront, seamlessly intertwining with the imperative of heightened productivity. As the discourse advances, a clear vision materializes, one where the future workplace becomes a nexus of enhanced performance, underscored by an unwavering commitment to the comprehensive well-being of its denizens.

4.0 REFERENCES

[1] Abdol Rahman M.N., Ahmad Zuhaidi M.F. Exposure level of Ergonomic Risk Factors in grocery retail industries (2018) Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, 13, pp. 6354 - 6358

[2] Abraham T., Binoosh S.A., Babu K.R.R. Virtual modelling and analysis of manual material handling activities among warehouse workers in the construction industry (2022) Work, 73 (3), pp. 977 - 990
[3] Adhaye A.M., Jolhe D.A. Ergonomic assessment for designing manual material handling tasks at a food warehouse in India: A case study (2023) Human Factors and Ergonomics In Manufacturing
[4] Bala Sujith Kumar A., Bernard A., Varaprasad G. A review on ergonomic risk assessment tools for manual material handling tasks in industries (2021) 12th International Conference on Advances in Computing, Control, and Telecommunication Technologies, ACT 2021, 2021-August, pp. 299 - 304
[5] Botti L., Calzavara M., Mora C. Modelling job rotation in manufacturing systems with aged workers (2021) International Journal of Production Research, 59 (8), pp. 2522 - 2536
[6] Cai M., Shen Q.-W., Luo X.-G., Huang G. Improving sustainability in combined manual material handling through enhanced lot-sizing models (2020) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 80, art. no. 103008
[7] Cimino A., Gnoni M.G., Longo F., Nicoletti L. A risk assessment framework based on ergonomic methods and AHP for prioritizing interventions to prevent container terminal operator's musculoskeletal disorders (2023) Safety Science, 159, art. no. 106017
[8] Colim A., Arezes P., Flores P., Braga A.C. Workers' Body Constitution as a Risk Factor During Manual Materials Handling (2019) Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 876, pp. 898 - 903
[9] Cuautle Gutiérrez L., Uribe Pacheco L.A., García Tepox J.D. Identification and evaluation of postural risks in a process of finishing automotive parts [Identificação e avaliação de riscos posturais em um processo de acabamento de peças automotivas] [Identificación y evaluación de riesgos posturales en un proceso de acabado de piezas automotrices] (2021) Revista Ciencias de la Salud, 19 (1), pp. 1 - 14
[10] Curbano R.J.P. 57191035835 Development of ergonomic intervention in manual material handling to prevent work related musculoskeletal disorder (2018) Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, 2018-March, pp. 2031 - 2031
[11] Das B. 35760240000 Work-related injuries, postural stress, and musculoskeletal disorders among the railway track maintainers in India (2020) Toxicology and Industrial Health, 36 (5), pp. 371 - 385
[12] Dasari A.B., Balasubramanian D. Evaluation of Ergonomics Issues in Repetitive Scrap Handling Work in Automobile Industries (2021) Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering, pp. 791 - 816
[13] Di Natali C., Chini G., Toxiri S., Monica L., Anastasi S., Draicchio F., Caldwell D.G., Ortiz J. Equivalent weight: Connecting exoskeleton effectiveness with ergonomic risk during manual material handling (2021) International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18 (5), art. no. 2677, pp. 1 - 25
[14] Donisi L., Cesarelli G., Capodaglio E., Panigazzi M., D'Addio G., Ponsiglione A.M., Romano M. Biomechanical risk classification according to NIOSH in workers affected by occupational pathologies (2022) 2022 10th E-Health and Bioengineering Conference, EHB 2022
[15] Dora H.K.M., Siva Rama Krishna L., Ravinder Reddy P. Productivity and Safety Improvement in Industry Using Ergonomics—A Case Study (2023) Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering, pp. 1 - 13
[16] Esteban Q.M., Villareal J.M., Yoo K., Magon E.S.S., Gumasing M.J.J. An ergonomic design of six-wheeled trolley for transportation of a 100-kg weight load (2020) Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, (August)
[17] Fadillah M.F., Muslim E. Ergonomic evaluation in a screen-printing division of a garment small medium enterprise (SME) using the posture evaluation index in a virtual environment (2019) AIP Conference Proceedings, 2193, art. no. 050006
[18] Feldmann F., Seitz R., Kretschmer V., Bednorz N., Ten Hompel M. Ergonomic Evaluation of Body Postures in Order Picking Systems Using Motion Capturing (2019) Proceedings - 2019 Prognostics and System Health Management Conference, PHM-Paris 2019, art. no. 8756344, pp. 204 - 209

[19] Fischer S.L., Koltun S., Lee J. A cross-sectional survey of musculoskeletal disorder hazard exposures and self-reported discomfort among on-shore wind turbine service technicians (2021) Ergonomics, 64 (3), pp. 383 - 395
[20] Golabchi A., Miller L., Rouhani H., Tavakoli M. Impact of Passive Back-Support Exoskeletons on Manual Material Handling Postures in Construction (2022) Proceedings of the International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction, 2022-July, pp. 359 - 366
[21] Goubault E., Martinez R., Assila N., Monga-Dubreuil É., Dowling-Medley J., Dal Maso F., Begon M. Effect of Expertise on Shoulder and Upper Limb Kinematics, Electromyography, and Estimated Muscle Forces During a Lifting Task (2022) Human Factors, 64 (5), pp. 800 - 819
[22] Greco A., Sepe R., Caputo F. A numerical procedure for evaluating physical parameters of ergonomic assessment for cart pushing/pulling tasks (2018) Procedia Structural Integrity, 12, pp. 304 - 316
[23] Gumasing M.J.J., Sasot Z.B. An Occupational Risk Analysis of Garbage Collection Tasks in the Philippines (2019) 2019 IEEE 6th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Applications, ICIEA 2019, art. no. 8715109, pp. 408 - 413
[24] Gumasing M.J.J., Villapando A.C., Pernia K.C. An ergonomic design of wheelchair bed transfer for post-stroke patients (2019) ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, pp. 275 - 279
[25] Gurnani U., Singh S.K., Sain M.K., Meena M.L. Ergonomic Analysis of Manual Activities Among Dairy Farm Workers: A Literature Review (2022) Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 391, pp. 661 - 673
[26] Gurnani U., Singh S.K., Sain M.K., Meena M.L. Ergonomic Analysis of Manual Activities Among Dairy Farm Workers: A Literature Review (2022) Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 391, pp. 661 - 673
[27] Hemati K., Darbandi Z., Kabir-Mokamelkhah E., Poursadeghiyan M., Ghasemi M.S., Mohseni-Ezhiye M., Abdolahian Y., Aghilinejad M., Ali Salehi M., Dehghan N. Ergonomic intervention to reduce musculoskeletal disorders among flour factory workers (2020) Work, 67 (3), pp. 611 - 618
[28] Humadi A., Nazarahari M., Ahmad R., Rouhani H. Instrumented Ergonomic Risk Assessment Using Wearable Inertial Measurement Units: Impact of Joint Angle Convention (2021) IEEE Access, 9, art. no. 9311734, pp. 7293 - 7305
[29] Humpli A.S., Qutubuddin S.M., Sohail A., Prashnat T., Ahmed M.A. Ergonomic Risk Assessment of Manual Material Handling (MMH) Tasks at Select Unorganized Small Scale Units in North Karnataka (2021) Proceedings of the International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, pp. 831 - 832
[30] Ibrahim N.A., Rahman S.A.S.A., Ismail S.H., Abdullah H. Musculoskeletal discomfort evaluation using quick exposure check (QEC) among tower crane operators (2020) IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 834 (1), art. no. 012056
[31] Iranzo S., Piedrabuena A., García-Torres F., Martinez-De-juan J.L., Prats-Boluda G., Sanchis M., Belda-Lois J.-M. Assessment of a Passive Lumbar Exoskeleton in Material Manual Handling Tasks under Laboratory Conditions (2022) Sensors, 22 (11), art. no. 4060
[32] Johnen L., Schaub M., Mertens A., Nitsch V., Brandl C. Can cumulative loading estimates be used to assess the collective occupational risk of MSD? Evaluation of calculation methods for spinal cumulative loading (2022) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 92, art. no. 103361
[33] Johnen L., Schaub M., Mertens A., Nitsch V., Brandl C. Can cumulative loading estimates be used to assess the collective occupational risk of MSD? Evaluation of calculation methods for spinal cumulative loading (2022) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 92, art. no. 103361
[34] Kamat S.R., Ani M.F., Hadi N.A.A., Rayme N.S., Ito M., Fukumi M. Redesign materials handling system by using ergonomic approaches to reduce back pain risk (2018) Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 739, pp. 592 - 602
[35] Kamble R., Neha, Vinu Vimal V.J., Pandit S. Ergonomic Study on Farmers Involved with Cotton Harvesting in Haryana (2022) Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 391, pp. 889 - 896
[36] Kamble R., Pandit S., Sahu A. Contributing Towards Blue Economy with Ergonomic Assessment of Musculoskeletal Disorder (MSD) Among Workers Involved in Harvesting Living Resources (2022) Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 391, pp. 569 - 579
[37] Karimi A., Mahaki B., Ebrahimi M.H., Bastami M.T., Pouya A.B., Kasraei F., Barkhordari A. Effect of simultaneous implementation of ergonomic interventions and management decisions on

reduction of musculoskeletal disorders and improvement of work postures between Milk sector workers of dairy factory (2020) Iran Occupational Health, 17 (1), art. no. 42

[38] Kathiravan S., Gunarani G.I. Ergonomic performance assessment (EPA) using rula and reba for residential construction in Tamil Nadu (2018) International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9 (4), pp. 836 - 843

[39] Kim H.K., Hussain M., Park J., Lee J., Lee J.W. Analysis of Active Back-Support Exoskeleton During Manual Load-Lifting Tasks (2021) Journal of Medical and Biological Engineering, 41 (5), pp. 704 - 714

[40] Kotadiya S.M., Majumder J., Kumar S. Application of Biomechanics Instrumentation in Occupational Health Research (2021) Research Anthology on Cross-Industry Challenges of Industry 4.0, 2, pp. 567 - 584

[41] Lee T., Roy A., Power P., Sembajwe G., Dropkin J. Ergonomic exposures and control measures associated with mass fatality decedent handling in morgues and body collection points in a New York healthcare system during COVID-19: A case series (2022) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 88, art. no. 103260

[42] Lunin A., Glock C.H. Systematic review of Kinect-based solutions for physical risk assessment in manual materials handling in industrial and laboratory environments (2021) Computers and Industrial Engineering, 162, art. no. 107660

[43] Madinei S., Kim S., Park J.-H., Srinivasan D., Nussbaum M.A. A novel approach to quantify the assistive torque profiles generated by passive back-support exoskeletons (2022) Journal of Biomechanics, 145, art. no. 111363

[44] Madinei S., Nussbaum M.A. Estimating lumbar spine loading when using back-support exoskeletons in lifting tasks (2023) Journal of Biomechanics, 147, art. no. 111439

[45] Mahmood S., Nasir S.H., Mamat N., Abdul Rahman I., Azis N.A. Ergonomics and Manual Handling Workplace Improvement: A Case Study of Firefighter at Pagoh (2022) Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering, pp. 567 - 582

[46] Marak T., Bhagat D., Borah S. Musculoskeletal disorders of garo women workers engaged in tea-plucking activity: An ergonomic analysis (2020) Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 24 (2), pp. 60 - 65

[47] Moussavi S.E., Zare M., Mahdjoub M., Grunder O. Balancing high operator's workload through a new job rotation approach: Application to an automotive assembly line (2019) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 71, pp. 136 - 144

[48] Murugan S.S., Ponraja S., Varma D.S., Raj M.J.I. Human Factor Analysis of Textile Industry Workers Using Various Ergonomic Assessment Tools (2023) Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series E, 104 (1), pp. 109 - 117

[49] Nabil L., Dahda S.S. RISK ANALYSIS OF THE PACKING PROCESS AT THE LOGISTICS DEPARTMENT OF PT. XYZ USED REBA METHOD (2022) Journal of Applied Engineering and Technological Science, 4 (1), pp. 325 - 332

[50] Ogedengbe T.S., Abiola O.A., Ikumapayi O.M., Afolalu S.A., Musa A.I., Ajayeoba A.O., Adeyi T.A. Ergonomics Postural Risk Assessment and Observational Techniques in the 21st Century (2022) Procedia Computer Science, 217, pp. 1335 - 1344

[51] Patel J., Ghosh T. An ergonomic evaluation of the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among fish processing workers of Suri (2023) Biomedicine (India), 43 (1), pp. 21 - 25

[52] Pinheiro D., Carneiro P., Teixeira L. How Can BPM Combined with Ergonomic Assessment Contribute to Improve Working Conditions? A Mixed Approach Applied to a Practical Case (2023) Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, 449, pp. 233 - 246

[53] Quiroz J.C., Aquino D.M., Rodriguez E.A., Montoya M.F. Redesign of Workspace through an Ergo-Lean Model to Reduce Musculoskeletal Disorders in SMEs in the Clothing Accessories Sector (2021) International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 69 (12), pp. 163 - 174

[54] Qureshi A., Manivannan K., Khanzode V., Kulkarni S. Musculoskeletal disorders and ergonomic risk factors in foundry workers (2019) International Journal of Human Factors and Ergonomics, 6 (1), pp. 1 - 17

[55] Rabbani A., Ahmed S. Ergonomic Analysis of Material Handling for a Residential Building at Rourkela (2020) Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series A, 101 (4), pp. 689 - 699

[56] Rajendran M., Sajeev A., Shanmugavel R., Rajpradeesh T. Ergonomic evaluation of workers during manual material handling (2021) Materials Today: Proceedings, 46, pp. 7770 - 7776

[57] Rao C.A., Prakesh B.S., Pandit S. Design Intervention in the Manually Push Cart Used for Carrying the Vegetables in Hyderabad (2023) Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering, pp. 21 - 32

[58] Rizkya I., Syahputri K., Sari R.M., Anizar, Siregar I. Evaluation of work posture and quantification of fatigue by Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) (2018) IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 309 (1), art. no. 012051

[59] Rocha C., Dias J., Moreira A.P., Veiga G., Costa P. A kinesthetic teaching approach for automating micropipetting repetitive tasks (2022) International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 118 (1-2), pp. 651 - 663

[60] Rodrigues Ferreira Faisting A.L., de Oliveira Sato T. Effectiveness of ergonomic training to reduce physical demands and musculoskeletal symptoms - An overview of systematic reviews (2019) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 74, art. no. 102845

[61] Sanjaya K.H., Sya'Bana Y.M.K., Widiyanto P., Saputra H.M., Baskoro C.H.A.H.B. Conceptual Design of Universal Autonomous Platform for Micromobility and Delivery System in the Hospital (2020) Proceeding - 2020 International Conference on Sustainable Energy Engineering and Application: Sustainable Energy and Transportation: Towards All-Renewable Future, ICSEEA 2020, art. no. 9306126, pp. 51 - 56

[62] Saptari A., Ng P.K., Junardi M., Taslim A. A Feasibility Study on the Conversion from Manual to Semi-Automatic Material Handling in an Oil and Gas Service Company (2023) Safety, 9 (1), art. no. 16

[63] Schettino S., Minette L.J., Andrade Lima R.C., Pedroso Nascimento G.S., Caçador S.S., Leme Vieira M.P. Forest harvesting in rural properties: Risks and worsening to the worker's health under the ergonomics approach (2021) International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics, 82, art. no. 103087

[64] Schmalz T., Colienne A., Bywater E., Fritzsche L., Gärtner C., Bellmann M., Reimer S., Ernst M. A Passive Back-Support Exoskeleton for Manual Materials Handling: Reduction of Low Back Loading and Metabolic Effort during Repetitive Lifting (2022) IISE Transactions on Occupational Ergonomics and Human Factors, 10 (1), pp. 7 - 20

[65] Sett M., Pal M., Majumdar D., Bhattacharyya D., Sahu S. Application of the predicted biomechanics approach in assessing work-related musculoskeletal discomfort among female brickfield workers in West Bengal, India (2022) Work, 72 (1), pp. 149 - 155

[66] Shin D.S., Jeong B.Y. Older Female Farmers and Modeling of Occupational Hazards, Wellbeing, and Sleep-Related Problems on Musculoskeletal Pains (2022) International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19 (12), art. no. 7274

[67] Silvetti A., Munafò E., Ranavolo A., Tatarelli A., Fiori L., Iavicoli S., Di Palma P., Draicchio F. Ergonomic risk assessment of sea fisherman part III: Manual handling and static posture (2020) Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 970, pp. 379 - 392

[68] Skovlund S.V., Bláfoss R., Skals S., Jakobsen M.D., Andersen L.L. The Importance of Lifting Height and Load Mass for Muscular Workload during Supermarket Stocking: Cross-Sectional Field Study (2022) International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19 (5), art. no. 3030

[69] Susihono W., Ariesca A., Suryanawati S., Mirajiani M., Gunawan G. Design of standard operating procedure (SOP) based on ergonomic working attitude through musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) complaints (2018) MATEC Web of Conferences, 218, art. no. 04019

[70] Syafei M.Y., Riyanto A., Sianturi G., Nafisa H. DESIGNING THE PLACTICS WRAPPING TROLLEY IN THE PACKING PROCESS TO REDUCE THE RISK OF INJURY ON MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS (MSDS) (2023) Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 18 (1), pp. 765 - 782

[71] Thakur K., Kuber P.M., Abdollahi M., Rashedi E. Why multi-tier surgical instrument table matters? An ergonomic analysis from mento-physical demand perspectives (2022) Applied Ergonomics, 105, art. no. 103828

[72] Vijaywargiya A., Bhiwapurkar M. Biomechanical Evaluation of Manual Material Handling Task in the Workplace: A Comprehensive Review (2020) Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering, pp. 295 - 303

[73] Widodo L., Daywin F.J., Nadya M. Ergonomic risk and work load analysis on material handling of PT. XYZ (2019) IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 528 (1), art. no. 012030

[74] Yadi Y.H., Kurniawidjaja L.M. Ergonomic design for musculoskeletal disorder prevention in the chemical processing industry: Case study on weighing stations and transfer of liquid catalysts (2019) Industrial Engineering and Management Systems, 18 (4), pp. 719 - 725

[75] Yusof A., Shahida M.S.N. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Discomfort Among Workers in a Medical Manufacturing Facility (2021) International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering, 18 (2), pp. 8687 - 8694

[76] Yusof A., Shalahim N.S.M. Investigation of ergonomic risk factors among male workers in a medical manufacturing company in Northern Malaysia (2020) Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine, 20 (Specialissue1), pp. 167 – 175