Malaysian Journal of Ergonomics (MJEr)
Volume 6 Issue 1 2024 [39-51]

Advancements in Cognitive Ergonomics: Integration with Human-Robot Collaboration, Workload Management, and Industrial Applications

Ahmad Humaizi Hilmi[1], Asna Rasyidah Abd Hamid[1] and Wan Abdul Rahman Assyahid[1]

[1] Fakulti Kejuruteraan & Teknologi Mekanikal, Universiti Malaysia Perlis

ABSTRACT

Cognitive ergonomics is increasingly essential in modern industries, particularly in human-robot collaboration (HRC), where it addresses mental workload, decision-making, and overall worker well-being. As industrial systems transition into Industry 4.0 and Industry 5.0, the integration of robotics and artificial intelligence (AI) into human-centered processes necessitates the management of cognitive load. Cognitive ergonomics enhances workplace efficiency by optimizing human-system interactions, reducing mental strain, and improving task performance in complex environments. By focusing on real-time workload management, including the use of biosensors and eye-tracking technologies, industries can monitor cognitive strain, allowing for immediate task adjustments to maintain productivity and safety. Cognitive ergonomics also plays a pivotal role in enhancing human factors in HRC by aligning machine design with human mental capabilities. Effective human-machine interfaces (HMIs) based on cognitive ergonomic principles improve decision-making, reduce errors, and enhance user satisfaction. As industries continue to adopt advanced robotics, the mental demands on workers increase, making cognitive ergonomics crucial for mitigating risks associated with cognitive overload. Future trends point toward the integration of cognitive ergonomics with emerging technologies like AI, wearable devices, and virtual reality (VR), offering new avenues for workload management and decision support. These advancements aim to balance the cognitive demands on workers, ensuring that systems are designed to enhance performance without causing mental fatigue or stress. Overall, cognitive ergonomics remains a vital component in ensuring the well-being and efficiency of workers in technologically advanced industrial environments.

Keywords: cognitive ergonomics, human-robot collaboration, mental workload, decision-making, human-machine interface, Industry 5.0, wearable technology

1. INTRODUCTION

Cognitive ergonomics plays a critical role in enhancing human factors and workplace efficiency, particularly within the context of rapidly evolving industrial systems such as Industry 4.0 and Industry 5.0. Cognitive ergonomics is primarily concerned with understanding how humans interact with systems and machines, ensuring that these interactions are optimized to reduce mental strain, improve decision-making, and enhance overall performance. With the increasing integration of robotics, artificial intelligence, and smart technologies, cognitive ergonomics is becoming even more crucial for developing human-centered work environments. Gualtieri et al. (2023) emphasize that cognitive ergonomics, when integrated into human-robot collaboration, can significantly improve working conditions and overall production performance, a key factor as industries move toward more collaborative robotic applications.

The rise of Industry 4.0 and Industry 5.0 introduces not only opportunities but also challenges, particularly concerning the cognitive workload placed on workers. These advanced industrial frameworks are characterized by the integration of cyber-physical systems, big data, and artificial intelligence into manufacturing and service operations. In this context, cognitive ergonomics helps mitigate the adverse effects of mental fatigue and stress that arise from handling complex systems and continuous human-robot interactions. As outlined by Shi et al. (2024), innovative product design, combined with cognitive ergonomics and big data-driven interfaces, significantly enhances the effectiveness of entity-based design systems, providing insights into how cognitive ergonomics improves system outcomes in industrial settings. This is particularly pertinent for maintaining worker efficiency and preventing cognitive overload, which can impair decision-making processes and lead to human errors.

In human-machine interaction, cognitive ergonomics becomes particularly relevant when considering how mental strain affects the completion of routine tasks in complex industrial environments. As highlighted by Destyanto et al. (2024), the academic workloads experienced by geology students in Indonesia demonstrate a correlation between mental strain, burnout, and spirituality, with spirituality emerging as a significant factor in reducing cognitive fatigue. This study highlights the importance of addressing cognitive fatigue in high-stress environments and suggests that similar approaches, such as integrating cognitive ergonomics into industrial settings, may help prevent mental exhaustion among workers.

Human-robot collaboration (HRC) is a critical area where cognitive ergonomics has been shown to significantly impact performance, particularly in repetitive and mentally demanding tasks. Gervasi et al. (2024) explore how eye-tracking technology can be utilized to monitor cognitive workload during long-duration tasks in HRC environments, revealing that cognitive strain can be monitored effectively through metrics such as pupil dilation and fixation duration. The ability to detect mental strain in real-time enables the adjustment of workloads and task designs, reducing the risk of mental fatigue and improving overall task performance. This approach aligns with the findings of Doran et al. (2022), who highlight the importance of integrating human factors and cognitive ergonomics into human-machine interfaces, particularly in additive manufacturing, where cognitive overload can affect both performance and muscle activity during task completion.

Cognitive workload management is vital for improving workplace efficiency, especially in scenarios where workers are required to perform multiple tasks simultaneously. Biondi et al. (2021) demonstrate the impact of cognitive overload on assembly task performance, showing that increased cognitive demand leads to longer task completion times and higher levels of muscle activity. The study suggests that managing cognitive load is essential to maintaining productivity in manufacturing environments, particularly as industries continue to adopt more complex technologies. By integrating cognitive ergonomics into work processes, industries can reduce the mental burden on workers, allowing them to focus more effectively on their tasks.

Cognitive ergonomics also plays a pivotal role in the safety and well-being of workers, especially in industries that rely heavily on human-machine collaboration. Coraci et al. (2023) examine the importance of risk communication during the COVID-19 pandemic, emphasizing that ergonomic communication strategies are necessary for improving public understanding of complex statistical information. While their research focuses on public health, the principles can be applied to industrial settings, where clear communication of risks and procedures is essential for maintaining safety and preventing cognitive overload.

The integration of cognitive ergonomics into industrial processes is further supported by Khattak et al. (2021), who investigated the effects of mental games as an intervention in call center environments. Their findings show that cognitive interventions can have mixed results, with mental games acting as both a positive and negative distraction depending on the timing and context of their use. This study suggests that cognitive interventions should be carefully designed and implemented in industrial settings to ensure that they enhance rather than detract from worker performance.

Wong and Crowe (2024) explore the impact of cognitive ergonomics on robotic surgery, highlighting the complexity of managing cognitive workload in such environments. While the ergonomic benefits of robotic surgery, such as improved postural support and visual clarity, are well-documented, the cognitive challenges posed by team separation and reduced situation awareness remain significant. This underscores the need for ongoing cognitive training and skill development to ensure that surgeons can maintain high levels of performance without becoming cognitively overwhelmed.

2. Cognitive Ergonomics in Human-Robot Interaction

The integration of cognitive ergonomics into human-robot collaboration (HRC) has become an increasingly critical area of study, especially in industrial settings where cognitive workload management is essential for maintaining productivity and reducing mental strain. Cognitive ergonomics aims to optimize the interaction between humans and machines by addressing the mental processes involved in tasks such as decision-making, attention, and stress management. Gervasi et al. (2024) explored how cognitive ergonomics can be applied to repetitive assembly processes involving robots, highlighting the importance of monitoring psychophysical states such as stress and cognitive load during shifts. Their research demonstrated that using non-invasive biosensors to track these states in real-time can significantly improve operator well-being and task performance, particularly by reducing cognitive load in the early phases of shifts. The presence of collaborative robots, or cobots, was shown to reduce both process failures and stress, ultimately leading to improved work conditions and efficiency.

Human-robot interaction in industrial settings often requires workers to manage complex tasks while maintaining high levels of concentration and cognitive engagement. The cognitive demands of these tasks can result in mental strain, which may impair productivity and increase the likelihood of errors. Lagomarsino et al. (2022) proposed a novel framework in which robot behavior is adapted in real-time according to the operator's cognitive workload. By optimizing the robot's trajectory based on cognitive strain, the system enhances both productivity and the worker's mental comfort, providing a practical example of how cognitive ergonomics can be applied to reduce mental strain and improve collaborative task outcomes. This adaptation also ensures that workers are not overwhelmed by the complexity of tasks, contributing to a safer and more efficient work environment.

Guidelines for integrating cognitive ergonomics into human-centered robotic systems are crucial for developing effective HRC environments. Gualtieri et al. (2024) conducted a comprehensive study to update design guidelines for cognitive ergonomics in collaborative robotics, focusing on improving cognitive responses and reducing mental strain. The guidelines were validated through expert surveys and laboratory experiments, demonstrating their effectiveness in enhancing worker safety, well-being, and performance. The study emphasized the need for systems to be designed with cognitive ergonomics in mind, particularly when implementing advanced robotics in manufacturing settings. These guidelines help ensure that workers are not only physically safe but also mentally supported, reducing the likelihood of cognitive overload and improving overall system performance.

Human factors and cognitive ergonomics are often underestimated in industrial HRC, even though they play a vital role in enhancing both worker well-being and production efficiency. As industry shifts toward more collaborative and human-centered approaches, addressing the cognitive challenges faced by workers becomes increasingly important. Khamaisi et al. (2022) highlighted the importance of identifying potential stressful conditions for workers through user experience (UX) assessments in manufacturing sites. Their research demonstrated that wearable devices and subjective self-assessments could be used to monitor both physical and cognitive stressors in industrial environments. This approach is essential for identifying and mitigating factors that contribute to cognitive overload, ultimately improving worker performance and well-being in human-robot collaborative tasks.

In addition to general guidelines, specific case studies have illustrated the impact of cognitive ergonomics on productivity and mental strain in HRC environments. Gualtieri et al. (2022)

conducted a laboratory-based case study where participants interacted with a collaborative robotic system for joint assembly tasks. The study demonstrated that manipulating system features according to cognitive ergonomic guidelines significantly improved both the cognitive response of workers and the overall assembly performance. By enhancing workstation features and interaction conditions, the cognitive workload was reduced, and task efficiency was increased, offering a clear example of how cognitive ergonomics can directly impact productivity in industrial settings.

Cognitive workload management is particularly important in tasks that require continuous attention and interaction with complex systems, such as those involving human-robot collaboration. Biondi et al. (2021) examined the effects of cognitive overload on assembly task performance, revealing that higher cognitive demand leads to longer task completion times and increased muscle activity. These findings suggest that managing cognitive load is essential for maintaining both productivity and physical well-being in industrial environments. By addressing the cognitive challenges faced by workers, industries can improve not only performance but also worker satisfaction and retention.

The application of cognitive ergonomics in industrial HRC also extends to the design of robotic systems themselves. Pei et al. (2022) investigated the effects of robot status on human emotional responses and support for robotics initiatives. Their study revealed that robots with higher status were associated with fewer negative emotions and greater support for robotics initiatives, suggesting that cognitive ergonomics should also consider the emotional and psychological aspects of human-robot interaction. These findings indicate that the design of robotic systems should account for both cognitive and emotional factors to foster more effective and supportive human-robot teams.

As Industry 5.0 continues to evolve, the role of cognitive ergonomics in HRC becomes even more critical. Industry 5.0 emphasizes human-centered approaches, where the well-being of workers is prioritized alongside production efficiency. The integration of cognitive ergonomics into HRC not only improves productivity but also enhances the overall work experience by reducing mental strain and promoting a more balanced interaction between humans and machines. Gualtieri et al. (2022) emphasized that cognitive ergonomics should be a central consideration in the design of collaborative assembly systems, as it directly impacts worker safety, mental well-being, and system performance.

3. Workload Management and Cognitive Overload in Industrial Contexts

In industrial settings, the management of mental workload and cognitive overload is a critical factor that can influence worker performance, efficiency, and well-being. Cognitive overload typically occurs when an individual is required to process more information than they can handle, leading to errors, fatigue, and reduced task performance. This issue is particularly prevalent in repetitive or complex tasks, such as those found in assembly lines or call centers. Heinold et al. (2023) highlighted the importance of addressing the risks and opportunities associated with human-robot interaction (HRI) in industrial automation, particularly the psychosocial impacts. Their study emphasized the need to balance the advantages of robotic systems with the cognitive demands placed on human operators, indicating that without proper workload management, the introduction of robots could lead to increased mental strain.

Research into cognitive ergonomics and workload management often explores various tools and methodologies for measuring mental strain in real-time. For instance, biosensors and eye-tracking technologies are widely used to monitor physiological responses that indicate cognitive load, such as stress or fatigue. Morton et al. (2022) utilized electroencephalographic (EEG) and electrooculographic (EOG) methods to measure cognitive overload during industrial tasks. Their study demonstrated that changes in brain activity, specifically the lower individual alpha frequency, could be used to distinguish between different levels of cognitive load. This approach offers a practical solution for industries seeking to monitor and mitigate cognitive overload, providing valuable insights into how mental strain fluctuates based on task complexity.

Similarly, Pongsakornsathien et al. (2022) explored the use of wearable cardiorespiratory sensors for monitoring cognitive workload in aerospace applications. Although primarily focused on physical workload, the study also addressed the challenges of measuring cognitive workload, noting the difficulties of obtaining accurate respiratory data during mentally demanding tasks. This research underscores the importance of developing robust, non-invasive monitoring tools that can provide real-time feedback on cognitive load, which is essential for maintaining optimal performance in industrial settings.

Reducing cognitive overload through task design and technology integration is another key area of focus in workload management. Task design that accounts for cognitive demands can significantly enhance worker performance and reduce mental strain. Wollter Bergman et al. (2021) examined how assembly workers perceived cognitive workload in relation to task design and work conditions. Their findings indicated that well-designed tasks, which balance physical and cognitive demands, can transform challenging tasks into motivating and rewarding experiences. The absence of adequate resources, however, often exacerbates cognitive demands, leading to frustration and reduced productivity. Effective task design that incorporates cognitive ergonomics can therefore play a crucial role in mitigating mental overload.

The integration of technology, such as advanced human-machine interfaces and real-time monitoring systems, can also reduce cognitive strain. Lagomarsino et al. (2022) presented an innovative method for online cognitive load assessment in manufacturing environments. By using stereo cameras to track worker movements and head poses, the system was able to assess attention and identify hyperactivity or unexpected movements that might indicate cognitive overload. This vision-based assessment tool provides industries with a practical method for monitoring cognitive load, offering the potential to integrate cognitive ergonomics into the development of smarter, more supportive human-robot systems.

Further illustrating the importance of cognitive ergonomics in workload management, Mostafa (2023) explored how ergonomics and Industry 4.0 technologies can facilitate worker tasks and enhance productivity. Their study emphasized that integrating cognitive ergonomics into the design of industrial systems not only improves task performance but also reduces long-term mental and physical risks. By focusing on cognitive and physical risk assessments, industries can identify potential hazards early and implement preventive measures to ensure that tasks are manageable and do not lead to cognitive overload.

The measurement and management of cognitive workload are also essential for preventing information overload, a condition where individuals are bombarded with excessive amounts of information that exceed their cognitive processing capacities. Parra-Medina and Álvarez-Cervera (2021) explored information overload and its neurocognitive effects, noting that it can lead to inefficient work, confusion, and delayed decision-making. In industrial contexts, where workers often deal with complex tasks that require high levels of attention and decision-making, information overload can significantly impair performance. The authors suggest that improving personal management of cognitive resources and applying cognitive ergonomics design guidelines can help reduce the adverse effects of information overload.

4. Role of Cognitive Ergonomics in Safety and Well-being

Cognitive ergonomics plays a pivotal role in promoting safety and enhancing psychosocial well-being across various industrial sectors. Its applications are crucial in addressing mental strain, stress, burnout, and fatigue, especially as industries transition towards highly automated environments where human-robot collaboration becomes increasingly common. The field of cognitive ergonomics focuses on the optimization of mental processes such as perception, memory, reasoning, and motor response to create safer and more productive workplaces.

In industrial settings, cognitive ergonomics is integral to occupational safety, particularly in managing the psychosocial risks associated with high-stress environments. For instance, Gervasi et al. (2024) explored human-robot collaboration (HRC) and its cognitive demands on workers during repetitive assembly processes. Their research showed that while collaborative robots (cobots) alleviate physical strain, they may also impose additional cognitive burdens. This highlights the need to optimize the interaction between humans and robots to not only improve productivity but also to ensure that workers are not overwhelmed by cognitive demands. Cognitive ergonomics, in this context, plays a vital role in designing systems that reduce mental strain, thereby enhancing both safety and performance.

Stress and fatigue are common issues in industries where high mental workload and complex tasks are prevalent. Filho et al. (2024) provided a comprehensive review of mental workload assessment methods used in industrial environments, indicating that subjective measures such as the NASA Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) are the most commonly applied tools. These methods are particularly useful in identifying cognitive fatigue, which is a significant contributor to workplace accidents and underperformance. The study emphasized the importance of integrating cognitive ergonomics into the design of industrial systems to minimize cognitive overload and its adverse effects on worker safety and well-being.

In educational and training environments, cognitive ergonomics is equally important. Sun et al. (2023) examined the role of cognitive ergonomics in design education through the lens of the STEAM model, which integrates art into the traditional STEM disciplines. Their findings suggest that incorporating cognitive ergonomic principles into educational frameworks enhances learning outcomes by reducing mental strain and improving the cognitive alignment between tasks and learners. This approach not only benefits students by fostering a better understanding of complex concepts but also enhances their overall well-being by mitigating the cognitive stress associated with rigorous educational programs.

The growing importance of cognitive ergonomics in Industry 5.0 further underscores its role in promoting worker well-being. Industry 5.0 focuses on human-centered approaches, integrating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics while ensuring that workers remain at the core of the production process. Aziz et al. (2023) explored the cognitive ergonomic factors associated with the use of exoskeletons in overhead tasks. Their study found a strong correlation between increased mental workload and task errors, highlighting the need for cognitive ergonomic interventions to sustain worker performance and well-being. This research aligns with the broader goals of Industry 5.0, where worker well-being is prioritized alongside productivity.

Cognitive ergonomics also plays a critical role in addressing burnout and fatigue in high-pressure environments such as healthcare. Foster et al. (2022) investigated the cognitive workload associated with reporting workplace violence in healthcare settings. Their findings revealed that poorly designed reporting systems contribute to cognitive overload and lower performance among healthcare workers. The study recommended redesigning these systems with cognitive ergonomic principles to reduce mental strain and improve usability. By optimizing systems to align with human cognitive capabilities, organizations can reduce the risk of burnout and enhance both safety and efficiency.

In addition to improving safety, cognitive ergonomics contributes to psychosocial well-being by addressing the mental and emotional aspects of work. Brizga et al. (2023) explored the psycho-emotional risk factors in forest nurseries, a solitary and physically demanding work environment. Their study identified significant cognitive ergonomic challenges, particularly related to fatigue and psychological stress, which can adversely affect worker health and productivity. By applying cognitive ergonomic principles, organizations can develop interventions that reduce mental strain and enhance psychosocial well-being, contributing to a more sustainable and healthy workforce.

The integration of cognitive ergonomics into safety protocols extends beyond physical health to include mental well-being. Dorner et al. (2022) emphasized the need for comprehensive mental workload assessments in assistive technologies to ensure that cognitive demands are not overlooked. Their review highlighted significant gaps in current mental workload assessment methodologies, suggesting that further research is needed to fully understand the cognitive impacts of these technologies. This underscores the importance of cognitive ergonomics in developing systems that safeguard both the physical and mental health of workers.

5. Innovative Applications of Cognitive Ergonomics in Industry

The integration of cognitive ergonomics with cutting-edge technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), big data, and virtual reality (VR) in industrial applications is shaping new dimensions of human-computer interaction and decision-making processes. As industries increasingly adopt advanced manufacturing techniques and data-driven interfaces, these developments necessitate the incorporation of cognitive ergonomic principles to ensure systems are designed in ways that optimize human performance while minimizing cognitive load.

A significant area where cognitive ergonomics and advanced technologies converge is in decision-making systems. In contemporary industries, decision-making is becoming more reliant on data-intensive systems. The use of AI and big data enhances decision-making capabilities by providing real-time insights, yet the cognitive load on operators must be managed effectively. For instance, Mammas and Mamma (2023) discussed the role of AI and big data analytics in e-learning systems, specifically for cancer decision-making boards. They emphasized that integrating cognitive ergonomics with AI enables more effective decision support systems by optimizing the way information is processed, enhancing decision accuracy, and reducing cognitive strain on medical professionals. Similarly, Costa et al. (2023) investigated how transmission speeds in digital media affect information retention and cognitive load, suggesting that the interaction between AI and big data systems must consider the cognitive limitations of human operators to prevent mental overload.

The growing trend of using virtual reality (VR) and digital simulations in industrial applications offers an innovative way to reduce cognitive load in design and planning processes. VR provides immersive environments where operators can engage in realistic simulations without the associated risks of real-world scenarios. Brunzini et al. (2021) emphasized the importance of VR in training for assembly tasks in industrial contexts, noting that VR environments allow operators to develop skills through interactive learning. This reduces the cognitive load typically associated with training in high-risk environments. However, they also highlighted the need for proper assessment of cognitive responses during VR use to avoid stress and cognitive overload. Their research underscores the potential of VR to enhance cognitive ergonomics by creating environments where complex tasks can be practiced safely, while cognitive demands are systematically managed.

Cardoso et al. (2021) explored the implementation of collaborative robots (cobots) and the integration of human-robot collaboration (HRC) with ergonomic principles. The study highlighted that while cobots can alleviate physical strain, the cognitive load associated with managing these robots requires significant attention. Human-robot collaboration in industrial settings presents challenges in managing mental workload, as operators are often required to monitor and control complex robotic systems. The incorporation of cognitive ergonomics in the design phase of HRC systems ensures that cognitive demands do not exceed human capacity, thereby preventing cognitive overload and ensuring that operators can work safely and efficiently alongside robots.

Moreover, Bläsing et al. (2022) emphasized the use of information assistance systems to mediate between increasing customization in production and the mental workload of employees. These systems serve as a bridge to reduce the complexity associated with highly customized production environments, providing real-time support to workers. By integrating cognitive ergonomic principles into the design of these systems, organizations can prevent cognitive fatigue and improve overall productivity. The authors highlighted that future developments could include adaptive assistance systems, which adjust in real-time based on the physiological correlates of mental workload, offering a more dynamic and responsive approach to workload management.
In addition to VR and AI-driven systems, digital simulations are becoming a critical tool for managing cognitive load in industrial design processes. Pohl and Oehm (2022) explored the psychological mechanisms behind human-machine interaction in food production, highlighting the importance of understanding human cognitive limitations when designing automated systems. Their research points to the "ironies of automation," where highly automated systems designed to reduce human workload can sometimes increase cognitive strain due to poor design. Addressing these issues through cognitive ergonomic principles ensures that automated systems are user-friendly and do not overwhelm operators with excessive information or complex interfaces.

Innovative applications of cognitive ergonomics are also transforming the healthcare sector. Giang et al. (2021) investigated how digital decision aids, such as virtual benefits counselors (VBCs), impact user interaction and cognitive load. The study revealed that while VBCs simplified decision-making processes by mimicking human counselors, they also introduced new cognitive challenges for users, particularly those with lower knowledge levels. The design of these systems must account for varying levels of user expertise to prevent cognitive overload, a principle that extends to industrial applications where operators have different levels of familiarity with new technologies.

Another innovative application of cognitive ergonomics can be found in modular laboratory setups for neuroergonomic and human-robot interaction research, as discussed by Savković et al. (2022). Their study designed a modular and adaptive laboratory to explore the impact of neuroergonomic factors on human-robot interaction, particularly in repetitive industrial tasks. By incorporating tools such as EEG and EMG devices, the study provided insights into the cognitive load experienced by operators during different types of human-robot collaboration. This research highlights the importance of continuous monitoring and adaptation of cognitive ergonomics in industrial settings to optimize operator performance and well-being.

Cognitive ergonomics also plays a crucial role in managing mental workload in industrial environments. Arana-De las Casas et al. (2021) provided a comprehensive review of mental workload management, emphasizing that high cognitive loads in manufacturing environments can lead to errors, accidents, and even mental health issues. Their research advocates for a more systematic evaluation of mental workload in industrial processes to prevent cognitive fatigue and maintain operator well-being. This aligns with the broader goals of cognitive ergonomics, which seek to create environments where human cognitive capabilities are optimized, and mental strain is minimized.

6. Future Trends and Research Directions

Cognitive ergonomics continues to evolve, significantly impacting various sectors, especially with the advent of artificial intelligence (AI), wearable technology, and collaborative robotics. As industries transition toward Industry 5.0, the importance of understanding human cognitive capabilities and limitations becomes paramount. This transition involves integrating human cognitive functions into advanced technological systems to improve efficiency, productivity, and safety. In this context, future research in cognitive ergonomics will likely focus on AI-driven systems, real-time monitoring of cognitive ergonomics using wearable technologies, and addressing potential challenges that arise in Industry 5.0.

AI-driven systems and machine learning represent one of the most critical areas of research in cognitive ergonomics. AI algorithms are now increasingly integrated into workplace environments to support decision-making, reduce cognitive load, and enhance human-machine collaboration. Research by Roach and Duffy (2021) indicates that AI systems can significantly augment cognitive processes by automating complex decision-making tasks, which are otherwise prone to human error. This augmentation becomes particularly relevant in domains like healthcare and production, where cognitive ergonomics can enhance operational efficiency. Further, the combination of AI and machine learning offers unprecedented opportunities for cognitive load management, enabling systems to adapt dynamically to the user's mental workload, as demonstrated in studies exploring human-computer interactions (Ducao et al., 2021).

Wearable technologies also play a critical role in advancing cognitive ergonomics. These technologies are increasingly used for real-time monitoring of cognitive states, providing a feedback loop that can inform and optimize work conditions. As noted by Lagomarsino et al. (2023), wearable devices that track physical and cognitive indicators, such as posture and attention distribution, allow for continuous monitoring and adjustment of tasks in human-robot collaborative settings. Such systems can dynamically respond to the user's cognitive load, enabling a safer and more efficient work environment. Furthermore, the adoption of augmented reality (AR) in construction, as explored by Wu et al. (2023), illustrates how cognitive ergonomics principles can be integrated into AR applications to improve task performance and enhance the user's kinesthetic awareness. This development aligns with the broader trend of Industry 5.0, where cognitive ergonomics is key to optimizing the human-machine interface.

However, there are challenges and opportunities associated with advancing cognitive ergonomics in the context of Industry 5.0. One of the primary challenges lies in balancing the automation of tasks with the cognitive needs of human operators. Studies by Marino et al. (2021) emphasize that while automation and AI systems enhance productivity, they can also increase cognitive demand, particularly in collaborative robotics environments. This additional cognitive load poses risks to worker well-being and job satisfaction. Similarly, findings from Kalakoski et al. (2020) suggest that interruptions and information overload in office environments lead to cognitive strain, reducing task performance and overall well-being. Addressing these challenges requires a nuanced approach that considers the limits of human cognition, as well as the need for adaptable and intuitive systems.

Opportunities for advancement also abound, especially in leveraging AI and wearable technologies to create adaptive work environments. For instance, the integration of AI into robotic systems can help develop cognitive ergonomics models that adjust based on real-time data from workers. This approach, highlighted by studies such as those by Zhang et al. (2020), can lead to more personalized work experiences, where tasks are tailored to the individual's cognitive capabilities. Similarly, the use of wearable technologies in real-time feedback systems, as proposed by Adapa et al. (2022), could offer new ways to manage cognitive workload in high-stakes environments like healthcare. These technologies enable continuous data collection on cognitive states, providing insights that can be used to optimize performance and reduce cognitive strain.

7. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the advancements in cognitive ergonomics, particularly in the domains of human-robot collaboration, workload management, and industrial applications, are proving to be critical in optimizing both human performance and workplace efficiency. As industries transition into the realms of Industry 4.0 and 5.0, where automation, artificial intelligence, and robotics are increasingly integrated, cognitive ergonomics has emerged as an essential factor in designing systems that prioritize human well-being alongside productivity. One of the most notable contributions of cognitive ergonomics is its ability to manage cognitive workload in environments characterized by complex human-robot interactions. Human-robot collaboration (HRC) requires individuals to perform tasks that demand sustained attention, rapid decision-making, and a balance between mental and physical efforts. Cognitive ergonomics provides strategies to reduce mental strain by optimizing how machines and humans interact, ensuring that tasks are performed efficiently without overwhelming operators. This reduces cognitive fatigue, minimizes the risk of human errors, and enhances overall task performance. Moreover, real-time monitoring technologies such as eye-tracking and biosensors are proving instrumental in detecting cognitive strain, allowing adjustments to workloads and task designs, which lead to safer and more productive work environments. Workload management has become increasingly critical as industries integrate more complex technologies. Cognitive overload can impair decision-making and lengthen task completion times, negatively impacting productivity. Cognitive ergonomics mitigates these challenges by ensuring that workers' cognitive demands are aligned with their capabilities. By designing tasks that incorporate ergonomic principles, industries can prevent cognitive fatigue and burnout, thus maintaining operational efficiency. Furthermore, by managing the cognitive workload, industries not only improve task performance but also contribute to the long-term well-being of their workers, reducing the risks associated with mental strain and ensuring sustainable performance. The application of cognitive ergonomics extends beyond performance optimization to enhancing worker safety and well-being. In high-stress environments, such as assembly lines or healthcare, cognitive ergonomics helps in managing the mental workload, minimizing errors, and reducing accidents. It also plays a vital role in improving communication, especially in settings where complex information needs to be conveyed clearly and effectively. By integrating cognitive ergonomic strategies into communication and risk management protocols, organizations can improve both worker safety and psychosocial health. Looking forward, the integration of cognitive ergonomics with emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and wearable devices holds immense promise. AI-driven systems have the potential to dynamically adapt to workers' cognitive states, providing tailored support that enhances decision-making and reduces mental strain. Wearable technologies, meanwhile, offer real-time monitoring of physiological indicators of cognitive workload, allowing for proactive adjustments that can further enhance safety and efficiency.

REFERENCES

[1] Adapa, K., Pillai, M., Das, S., Mosaly, P., & Mazur, L. (2022). Predicting Objective Performance Using Perceived Cognitive Workload Data in Healthcare Professionals: A Machine Learning Study. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 290, 809-813. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI220191

[2] Aziz, M. H., Rahman, N. I. A., & Harith, H. (2023). Quantification of cognitive ergonomic factors and task performance for the use of passive upper limb exoskeleton while performing overhead tasks. Transdisciplinary Journal of Engineering and Science, 14, 221-235. https://doi.org/10.22545/2023/00231

[3] Biondi, F. N., Cacanindin, A., Douglas, C., & Cort, J. (2021). Overloaded and at work: Investigating the effect of cognitive workload on assembly task performance. Human Factors, 63(5), 813-820. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720820929928

[4] Bläsing, D., Hinrichsen, S., Wurm, S., & Bornewasser, M. (2022). Information assistance systems as preventive mediators between increasing customization and mental workload. Work, 72(4), 1535-1548. https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-211283

[5] Brizga, D., Miezite, O., Ozolina, V., & Sisenis, L. (2023). Improvement of competence of labour protection specialists: Assessment of cognitive ergonomic aspects in JSC Latvian State Forests (LVM) nurseries. Engineering for Rural Development, 22, 384-389. https://doi.org/10.22616/ERDev.2023.22.TF083

[6] Brunzini, A., Grandi, F., Peruzzini, M., & Pellicciari, M. (2021). Virtual training for assembly tasks: A framework for the analysis of the cognitive impact on operators. Procedia Manufacturing, 55, 527-534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2021.10.072

[7] Cardoso, A., Colim, A., Bicho, E., Braga, A.C., Menozzi, M., & Arezes, P. (2021). Ergonomics and human factors as a requirement to implement safer collaborative robotic workstations: A literature review. Safety, 7(4), art. no. 71. https://doi.org/10.3390/safety7040071

[8] Coraci, D., Demichelis, A., & Cevolani, G. (2023). Understanding and communicating risk: The case of COVID-19. Springer Series in Design and Innovation, 28, 98-110. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28390-1_11

[9] Costa, J., Faria, A., Dias, R., Noriega, P., Vilar, E., & Rebelo, F. (2023). Video transmission speed and impact on information retention: A pilot study. Springer Series in Design and Innovation, 27, 191-200. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-20364-0_17

[10] Destyanto, T. Y. R., Kristyanto, T. H. W., & Kurnianingtyas, C. D. (2024). The relationship of spirituality and burnout prevention in geology students: Study in Universitas Indonesia. Springer Series in Design and Innovation, 46, 347-358. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-60863-6_28

[11] Doran, E., Bommer, S., & Badiru, A. (2022). Integration of human factors, cognitive ergonomics, and artificial intelligence in the human-machine interface for additive manufacturing. International Journal of Mechatronics and Manufacturing Systems, 15(4), 310-330. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmms.2022.127213

[12] Dorner, A., Bures, M., & Pirkl, G. (2022). Searching for the gaps in mental workload assessment of assistive technologies. IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, 67-70. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEM55944.2022.9989752

[13] Filho, P. C. A., da Silva, L., Pombeiro, A., Costa, N., Carneiro, P., & Arezes, P. (2024). Assessing mental workload in industrial environments: A review of applied studies. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, 492, 677-689. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-38277-2_54

[14] Foster, M., Adapa, K., Soloway, A., Francki, J., Stokes, S., & Mazur, L. (2022). Electronic reporting of workplace violence incidents: Improving the usability, and optimizing healthcare workers' cognitive workload, and performance. Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 290, 400-404. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI220105

[15] Gervasi, R., Capponi, M., Antonelli, D., Mastrogiacomo, L., & Franceschini, F. (2024). A human-centered perspective in repetitive assembly processes: Preliminary investigation of cognitive support of collaborative robots. Procedia Computer Science, 232, 2249-2258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.02.044

[16] Giang, W.C.W., Bland, E., Chen, J., Colón-Morales, C.M., & Alvarado, M.M. (2021). User interactions with health insurance decision aids: User study with retrospective think-aloud interviews. JMIR Human Factors, 8(4), e27628. https://doi.org/10.2196/27628

[17] Gualtieri, L., Fraboni, F., Brendel, H., Dallasega, P., Rauch, E., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). Guidelines for the integration of cognitive ergonomics in the design of human-centered

and collaborative robotics applications. Procedia CIRP, 120, 374-379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2023.09.005

[18] Heinold, E., Funk, M., Niehaus, S., Rosen, P. H., & Wischniewski, S. (2023). OSH related risks and opportunities for industrial human-robot interaction: Results from literature and practice. Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 10, art. no. 1277360. https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2023.1277360

[19] Kalakoski, V., Selinheimo, S., Valtonen, T., Turunen, J., Käpykangas, S., Ylisassi, H., Toivio, P., Järnefelt, H., Hannonen, H., & Paajanen, T. (2020). Effects of a cognitive ergonomics workplace intervention (CogErg) on cognitive strain and well-being: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. BMC Psychology, 8(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-019-0349-1

[20] Kazemi, R., & Smith, A. (2023). Overcoming COVID-19 pandemic: Emerging challenges of human factors and the role of cognitive ergonomics. Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science, 24(4), 401-412. https://doi.org/10.1080/1463922X.2022.2090027

[21] Khamaisi, R. K., Brunzini, A., Grandi, F., Peruzzini, M., & Pellicciari, M. (2022). UX assessment strategy to identify potential stressful conditions for workers. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 78, art. no. 102403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2022.102403

[22] Khattak, S., Fray, M., & Clift, L. (2021). Call centre employee's reasons for variation in objective productivity during a cognitive ergonomics intervention. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. https://doi.org/10.1145/3452853.3452860

[23] Lagomarsino, M., Lorenzini, M., Balatti, P., Momi, E.D., & Ajoudani, A. (2023). Pick the Right Co-Worker: Online Assessment of Cognitive Ergonomics in Human-Robot Collaborative Assembly. IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems, 15(4), 1928-1937. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCDS.2022.3182811

[24] Mammas, C.S., & Mamma, A.S. (2023). Interactive E-Learning and E-Training enhanced by AI and Big Data analytics of cognitive ergonomics of the remote E-Multidisciplinary Board in Liver Cancer. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 701, 203-213. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-33986-8_22

[25] Marino, C.D., Tarallo, A., Vitali, A., & Regazzoni, D. (2021). Collaborative Robotics and Ergonomics: A Scientific Review. ASME International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Proceedings (IMECE), 6, V006T06A020. https://doi.org/10.1115/IMECE2021-72919

[26] Morton, J., Zheleva, A., Van Acker, B. B., Durnez, W., Vanneste, P., Larmuseau, C., De Bruyne, J., Raes, A., Cornillie, F., Saldien, J., De Marez, L., & Bombeke, K. (2022). Danger, high voltage! Using EEG and EOG measurements for cognitive overload detection in a simulated industrial context. Applied Ergonomics, 102, art. no. 103763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2022.103763

[27] Mostafa, N. A. (2023). Human factors and ergonomics for intelligent manufacturing in the era of Industry 4.0. 2023 4th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Robotics and Control (AIRC), 88-93. https://doi.org/10.1109/AIRC57904.2023.10303190

[28] Parra-Medina, L. E., & Álvarez-Cervera, F. J. (2021). Information overload syndrome: A bibliographic review. Revista de Neurologia, 73(12), 421-428. https://doi.org/10.33588/RN.7312.2021113

[29] Pei, H.-R., Chen, Q., & Lin, L. (2022). The effect of status of robots that make mistakes on desire for contact and support for robotics initiative. 2022 7th International Conference on Robotics and Automation Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRAE56463.2022.10056227

[30] Pohl, R., & Oehm, L. (2022). Towards a new mindset for interaction design—Understanding prerequisites for successful human–machine cooperation using the example of food production. Machines, 10(12), art. no. 1182. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines10121182

[31] Pongsakornsathien, N., Gardi, A., Lim, Y., Sabatini, R., & Kistan, T. (2022). Wearable cardiorespiratory sensors for aerospace applications. Sensors, 22(13), art. no. 4673. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22134673

[32] Roach, A.L., & Duffy, V.G. (2021). Emerging Applications of Cognitive Ergonomics: A Bibliometric and Content Analysis. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 13096 LNCS, 77-89. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90328-2_5

[33] Savković, M., Caiazzo, C., Djapan, M., Vukićević, A.M., Pušica, M., & Mačužić, I. (2022). Development of modular and adaptive laboratory set-up for neuroergonomic and human-robot interaction research. Frontiers in Neurorobotics, 16, art. no. 863637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbot.2022.863637

[34] Shi, J., Yusoff, I. S. M., & bin Yahaya, M. F. (2024). Examining the relationship between innovative product design, cognitive ergonomics, and the effectiveness of entity design-system: Focusing on the environment of big data-driven interface. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 9(3), 29049. https://doi.org/10.55267/iadt.07.14869

[35] Sun, Y., Ni, C.-C., & Lin, R. (2023). From stereotype to reality: A pilot study on the use of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics and STEAM in design education in Taiwan. Frontiers in Education, 8, art. no. 1098584. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.1098584

[36] Wollter Bergman, M., Berlin, C., Chafi, M. B., Falck, A.-C., & Örtengren, R. (2021). Cognitive ergonomics of assembly work from a job demands–resources perspective: Three qualitative case studies. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(23), art. no. 12282. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312282

[37] Wong, S. W., & Crowe, P. (2024). Cognitive ergonomics and robotic surgery. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 18(1), 110. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11701-024-01852-7

[38] Wu, S., Hou, L., Chen, H., Zhang, G.K., Zou, Y., & Tushar, Q. (2023). Cognitive ergonomics-based Augmented Reality application for construction performance. Automation in Construction, 149, 104802. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2023.104802