

# Design Simulation of Multilayer Structures for the Development of Biosensor Based on Transverse Matrix Method

Teguh Puja Negara<sup>1,2</sup>, Hendradi Hardhienata<sup>1</sup>, Akhiruddin Maddu<sup>1</sup>, and Husin Alatas<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Theoretical Physics Division, Department of Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, IPB University, Bogor, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Pakuan, Bogor, Indonesia

# ABSTRACT

Simulation of electromagnetic wave propagation on layered metal-dielectric structures using analytical methods is a study that continues to be developed because it involves strict mathematical equations with exact results. In this study, the transfer matrix method was developed to analyze the dielectric-metal-metal-dielectric structure through the reflection profile. The emergence of the Surface Plasmons Resonance (SPR) phenomenon causes a minimum reflectance whose changes are analyzed through variations in optical and physical parameters. The simulation shows that the minimum reflectance angle occurs at an angle  $\theta = 85^{\circ}$ , indicating the existence of the SPR phenomenon in the condition  $\omega/\omega_0 = 0.9$ . The choice of metal materials, namely gold and silver, resulted in a shift in the reflectance curve, and an increase in the thickness of the adhesive layer caused a shift in the reflectance curve towards a smaller angle. Changes in the refractive index of the upper dielectric material are important for biosensor development, namely a shift in the reflectance curve with a linear response at the interval of refractive index 2.61 – 2.91.

Keywords: Multilayer structure, transverse matrix method, biosensor

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of metal-dielectric structures using optical analysis remains an active research area, as evidenced by ongoing investigations [1,2]. Simultaneously, advancements in both hardware and software play a pivotal role in the evolution of optical devices. Researchers have devised various analytical [3] and numerical techniques [4] to elucidate optical phenomena through mathematical models from a theoretical perspective. In general, numerical methods are favored for their simplicity and efficiency in terms of execution. However, numerical methods come with their share of challenges, including issues related to resolution [5], stability [6], and calculation errors [7]. On the other hand, analytical methods yield precise solutions and can unveil optical phenomena within metal-dielectric structures without introducing errors. Analytical approaches can establish the presence of the Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) phenomenon by examining the transmittance or reflectance of waves post-interaction with these structures [8].

In this study, we employed the Transfer Matrix method to analyze a multilayer structure comprised of alternating dielectric-metal-metal-dielectric layers. This particular multilayer configuration was designed to exhibit the Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) phenomenon. SPR is a resonance phenomenon resulting from the interaction between light waves and electrons on a metal surface, leading to quantized electron oscillations on the metal surface. Previous research has demonstrated that the transmittance spectra of SPR sensors are wavelength-dependent [9]. Optical properties, including reflectance, can be examined concerning the presence of SPR and factors such as the angle of incident light waves and the refractive index of the structural layer.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors: alatas@apps.ipb.ac.id

These parameters also influence the wavelength at which SPR occurs. It's worth noting that the shift in the reflectance peak associated with SPR exhibits a linear behavior, as observed in previous studies, making it a widely adopted feature for optical biosensors [10]. Selecting the appropriate metal layer and determining its thickness are crucial considerations in developing fabrication processes. Our simulations' outcomes can potentially inform the construction of optical biosensor devices.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Finite Difference Method

This study used the Transfer Matrix Method [11,12], which provides an exact numerical solution to the proposed structural model and is relatively easy to modify if there is a change in the structural model. The reflectance of the structure was calculated using the following equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_i/E_r\\ E_r/E_t \end{pmatrix} = \tau^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

Where  $E_i$ ,  $E_r$ , and  $E_t$  are the incident, reflected, and transmitted electric fields, respectively. The matrix  $\tau$  is a transfer matrix that connects the electric fields of each layer.

$$\tau = P_0^{-1}(\dots, Q_{n+1}P_{n+1}Q_nP_n)P_0 \tag{2}$$

Matrix P and Q according to Transverse Electric (TE) dan Transverse Magnetic (TM) polarization

$$P_j^{TE} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ k_j \cos\theta_j & -k_j \cos\theta_j \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

$$P_j^{TM} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_j & \cos\theta_j \\ k_j \cos\theta_j & -k_j \cos\theta_j \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

$$Q_j^{TE} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_j d_j cos\theta_j} & e^{-ik_j d_j cos\theta_j} \\ k_j cos\theta_j e^{ik_j d_j cos\theta_j} & -k_j cos\theta_j e^{-ik_j d_j cos\theta_j} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

$$Q_{j}^{TM} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{j}e^{ik_{j}d_{j}\cos\theta_{j}} & \cos\theta_{j}e^{-ik_{j}d_{j}\cos\theta_{j}} \\ k_{j}e^{ik_{j}d_{j}\cos\theta_{j}} & -k_{j}e^{-ik_{j}d_{j}\cos\theta_{j}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

where  $k_j$  is the wave number and  $\theta_j$  is the angle of incidence at each layer. The reflectance of the electric field is given by

$$R = \left|\frac{E_r}{E_i}\right|^2 \tag{7}$$

The wavelength shift is a function of the change in the refractive index of the material, and thus, the sensitivity can be defined as [13,14]

$$S = \frac{d\lambda_p}{dn_d}$$
(8)

where  $\lambda_p$  is the peak wavelength of the reflectance and  $n_d$  is the refractive index of the dielectric material.

## 2.2 Design Structure

A multilayer layer is a dielectric or metal layer arranged alternately with a certain thickness. Using MATLAB software, a multilayer layer design is formed with parameters and sizes according to the design that has been made



Figure 1. Multilayer structure.

The structural design to be investigated consists of two dielectric layers separated by two metal layers, namely, the adhesive layer and the metal layer, as shown in Fig. 1.  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  show the refractive indices of dielectric 1 and dielectric 2, which are  $n_1 = 2.61$  (TiO<sub>2</sub>) and  $n_2 = 3.61$  (GaAs) with the appropriate thickness  $d_1 = d_2 = 58 nm$ . The adhesive layer is a titanium material with a refractive index  $n_a = 2.611 + 3.6024i$  [15] and thickness  $d_a = 29 nm$ . The metal layer can use gold and silver with a refractive index of refraction that follows the Drude-Lorentz formulation [16]:

$$n(\lambda) = \sqrt{\varepsilon(\lambda)} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \lambda_c}{\lambda_p^2 (\lambda_c + i\lambda)}}$$
(9)

where  $\lambda_p$  denotes the plasma wavelength, while  $\lambda_c$  the collision wavelength. The values of  $\lambda_p$  and  $\lambda_c$  for gold were 1.6826 × 10<sup>-7</sup>m and 8.9342 × 10<sup>-6</sup>m respectively. The thicknesses of the dielectric layer and the gold layer were the same, namely400 *nm*. The wavelength was set in the visible light range of :  $\lambda_0 = 580 \text{ nm}$ . The simulation was performed 6the Transfer Matrix method. The use of metal materials among dielectric materials is the reason for the appearance of SPR, and the shift in the SPR wavelength is very sensitive to changes in the refractive index of the dielectric material ( $n_1$ ), as reported in previous studies [17].

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the simulation results, the reflectance of the multilayer structure shows varying reflectance values for incident angles in the range of incident angles  $0^0 - 90^0$ . An angle of incidence of  $85^0$  °indicates the existence of a minimum reflectance value of R = 0.09 (Fig. 2a). The reflectance profile against the normalized frequency at an angle of incidence  $85^0$  °proves that the minimum reflectance falls at the normalized frequency  $\omega/\omega_0 = 0.9$  (Fig. 2b). The minimum reflectance at this frequency value indicates that the field is transmitted because there is an increase in field localization between the dielectric and metal layers, which is called surface plasmon resonance (SPR), so that the angle  $85^0$  can be referred to as the SPR angle ( $\theta_{spr}$ ) for the proposed structure.



**Figure 2.** (a) Relation of reflectance to incident angle (b) Normalized reflectance profile to frequency in a multilayer structure.

The use of metal layers in the dielectric multilayer structure is the main factor in the occurrence of SPR because of the localization of the field on the contact surface of the dielectric and metal. Therefore, resonance occurs when the frequency of the electromagnetic waves matches the oscillation frequency of electrons on the surface of the dielectric and metal. The metal materials commonly used in multilayer structures are Au and Ag. The simulation results for the gold and metal layers show different reflectance profiles. For the metal layer, silver showed a more negligible (minimum) reflectance than gold at normalized frequencies  $\omega/\omega_0 = 0.9$  (Fig. 3a). Also, using different metal layers resulted in a shift of the SPR angle, as shown in Fig. 3b.



**Figure 3.** Differences in the use of metal materials, namely gold (blue) and silver (red) (a) Normalized reflectance profile to frequency (b) SPR reflectance dip.

In multilayer structures, an adhesive layer is used on top of the substrate to adhere to the top layer. Generally, the adhesive layer uses titanium material with a refractive index  $n_a = 2.611 + 3.6024i$  and is thin (thinner than the metal layer). The thickness of the adhesive layer can affect the reflectance profile, that is, the shift in the SPR angle and minimum reflectance value, as shown in Fig. 4. The thickness of the adhesive layer in the simulation is chosen:  $d_a = 2.9 nm$  (red),  $d_a = 3.86 nm$  (green),  $d_a = 5.80 nm$  (black),  $d_a = 11.6 nm$  (blue) produces a shift in the SPR angle and a minimum reflectance value



**Figure 4.** Relationship of angle of incidence and dip reflectance for variations in adhesive layer thickness:  $d_a = 2.9 \text{ nm}$  (red),  $d_a = 3.86 \text{ nm}$  (green),  $d_a = 5.80 \text{ nm}$  (black),  $d_a = 11.6 \text{ nm}$  (blue).

Variations in the top dielectric layer produce the same characteristics, that is, a shift in the reflectance curve, as shown in Fig. 5a. If the change in the refractive index of the upper dielectric layer is plotted, it produces a nonlinear reflectance value between the refractive index values 2.61 - 3.61 (Fig.5b). Changes in the refractive index of the upper dielectric are important for the development of biosensor devices because they respond to biorecognition activity in detecting changes in objects (environment) outside the structure.



**Figure 5.** (a) SPR reflectance profile against variations  $n_2$ :3.61 (red), 3.41 (green), 3.31 (black), 3.21 (blue) (b) Plot of the relationship dip reflectance for the variation of the refractive index  $n_2$ .

If the range of refractive index changes is smaller, namely 2.61 - 2.91 (Fig. 6), it will produce a linear change in reflectance with a sensitivity of

$$\frac{dT}{dn_2} = 2 \tag{10}$$

These results can be used as a standard for building biosensor devices that can sense sensitive changes in objects (environment) over a range of refractive indices 2.61 - 2.91.

Teguh Puja Negara, et al. / Design Simulation of Multilayer Structures for the Development of Biosensor...



**Figure 6.** Plot of the relationship dip reflectance for the variation of the refractive index  $n_2$ : 2.61 – 2.91.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Simulation of electromagnetic wave propagation in circular photonic crystal structures with dielectric-metal-metal dielectric layers has been carried out using transverse matrix methods. Output analysis was carried out through changes in reflectance due to changes in optical and physical parameters. The simulation results show that the minimum reflectance angle occurs at an angle  $\theta = 85^{0}$  which indicates the existence of the SPR phenomenon in the condition  $\omega/\omega_{0} = 0.9$ . The choice of metal materials, namely, gold and silver, resulted in a shift in the reflectance curve, and an increase in the thickness of the adhesive layer caused a shift in the reflectance curve toward a smaller angle. Changes in the refractive index of the upper dielectric material are important for biosensor development, namely, a shift in the reflectance curve with a linear response at the refractive index interval of 2.61 - 2.91. Changes in the refractive index of the upper dielectric are important for developing biosensor devices because they respond to biorecognition activity in detecting changes in objects (environment) outside the structure.

### REFERENCES

- Incheol, J., Hyeonwo, K., Seunghyun, O., Hojae, K., Seongcheol, J., Misuk, K., Jong, H, J., Hyoung, W, B., Jong, G, O., Kyu, T, L. *Optics & Laser Technology* vol 158 issue 108772 (2023) pp.1-6
- [2] Ángela, B., Francesco, V., Alexander, E, M., Carsten, R., and Isabelle., *Advanced Photonics Research*. vol 3 issue 4 (2021) pp. 1-48
- [3] Zahra, B., Asghar, K., Ayaz, G., and Hector, B., *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications* vol 34 issue 2 (2019)
- [4] Teguh, P, N., Agah, D, G., Sri, N., Husin, A., Optik vol 125 (2014) pp. 3134-3137
- [5] Massimiliano, M., Rodriguez, E, V, F., Hugo, E, H, F., *Optic Express* vol 10 issue 22 (2022)
- [6] Maslova, E, E., Bogdanov, A, A., Rybin, M, V., Sadrieva, Z, F., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 6th International Conference on Metamaterials and Nanophotonics METANANO*. vol 2015 012090 (2021)
- [7] Warnick, K, F., *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* vol 47 issue 6 (2006) pp. 111-115
- [8] Habib. A, Matias. R, Sanghyeon. Y, Hai. Z *Journal of Differential Equations*. vol 261 issue 6 (2016) 3615-3669
- [9] Zainudin, N, A, M., Zakaria, R., Ariannejad, M., Harun, S, W., *Results in Physics* vol 13 issue 102255 (2019)
- [10] Goyal, A., Suthar, B., Bhargava. A., *Plasmonics* vol 16 issue 2 (2021) pp. 1-5

- [11] Pandey, J, P., *J. of Ramanujan Society of Math. and Math. Sc.* vol 6 issue 1 (2017) pp. 121-130
- [12] Saravanan, S., Dubey, R., Kalainathan, S., 2013 International Journal of Electrical, Electronics and Data Communication. vol 1 issue 8 (2013) pp. 72-74
- [13] Murya, P., Maurya, S., Verma, R., *Results in Optics* vol 8 issue 100246 (2022) pp. 1-8
- [14] Zhu, J., Wang, G., *Result in Physics* vol 15 issue 102763 (2019) pp. 1-6
- [15] Johnson, P, B., Christy, R, W., *Physical Review B* vol 9 issue 12 (1974) pp. 5056-5070
- [16] Xiong, M., Teng, C., Chen, M., Cheng, Y., Deng, S., Li, F., Deng, H., Liu, H., Yuan, L., *Sensors* vol 22 issue 15 (2022) pp. 1-10
- [17] Jahanshabi, P., Ghomeishi, M., Adikan, F, R, M., *The Scientific Word Journal* vol 2014 issue 503749 (2014) pp. 1-6