

## Asymptotic Behavior of Nonlinear Operator

A. Alsarayreh<sup>1,a</sup>, I. Qaralleh<sup>2,b</sup> and M.Z. Ahmad<sup>1,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Engineering Mathematics Universiti Malaysia PerlisPauh Putra Main Campus  
02600 Arau, Perlis, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Tafila Technical University  
Tafila 66110, Jordan

### ABSTRACT

A quadratic stochastic operator (QSO) describes the time evolution of different species in biology. QSOs are the simplest non-linear operators however the main problem with a non-linear operator is its behavior. The behavior of non-linear operator has not been studied in depth; even QSOs, which are the simplest non-linear operators, have not been studied thoroughly. This paper investigates the global behavior of an operator  $V_a$  taken from  $\xi^{(s)}$ -QSO when the parameter  $a \in \{0, 0.5, 1\}$ .

**Keywords:** quadratic stochastic operator; non-linear operators; asymptotic behavior.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The first appearance of quadratic stochastic operator (QSO) was in Bernstein's work [1]. Many different fields have been using the properties of dynamical, QSO, as feed up of analysis. For examples, physics [24,32], economics, mathematics [15,17,20,33] and biology [1,14,15,18,19,20,30,35].

The QSO is generally used to present time evolution of species in biology. In [1], the system of QSO related to genetic population has been investigated. The system grows as follows. Suppose a population that contains of  $m$  species (traits)  $1, 2, \dots, m$ . We denote a set of all species by  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , the probability distribution of species at an initial state denoted by  $x^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_m^{(0)})$ . The coefficient  $p_{ij,k}$  means the probability that individual in  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  species hybridize to produce an individual from  $k^{th}$  species. Thus, the probability distribution of the species in the first generation, namely  $x^{(1)} = (x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)})$  can be calculated as a total probability i.e.,

$$x_k^{(1)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m P_{ij,k} x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)}, \quad k = \overline{1, m}.$$

Consequently, this formula means that the relation  $x^{(0)} \rightarrow x^{(1)}$  find a mapping  $V$  which known as evolution operator. The population is develop by starting from an arbitrary state  $x^{(0)}$  then passing to the state  $x^{(1)} = V(x^{(0)})$  (known as first generation), after that to the state

---

<sup>a</sup> abdalwahab.saraereh@gmail.com, <sup>b</sup> izzat\_math@yahoo.com, <sup>c</sup> zaini@unimap.edu.my

$x^{(2)} = V(x^{(1)}) = V(V(x^{(0)})) = V^{(2)}(x^{(0)})$  (called second generation) and so on. Hence, the discrete dynamical system discussed the population system evolution states by the following.

$$x^{(0)}, \quad x^{(1)} = V(x^{(0)}), \quad x^{(2)} = V^{(2)}(x^{(0)}), \quad \dots$$

In other meaning, if the distribution of the current generation is given, then the QSO can characterize the distribution probability of the next generation. In [20], the most interesting application of QSO to population genetics were provided. The open problem and recent achievement in the theory of QSO was provided in [11]. Researcher usually tries to study the behavior of non-linear operators which is considered as the main problem in non-linear operator but this problem has not been fully studied. The reason is that the problem depends on the given cubic matrix  $(P_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^m$ . An asymptotic behavior of QSO even in small dimensional is simplex [6, 30, 31, 33, 34].

Many researchers devoted their study to introduce a special class of QSO and investigated its behavior such as F-QSO [28], Volterra-QSO [7, 8, 9, 16, 33], permuted Volterra-QSO [12, 13],  $\ell$ -Volterra-QSO [26,27], Quasi-Volterra-QSO [5], non-Volterra-QSO [6, 31], strictly non-Volterra-QSO [29] and non-Volterra operators which produced by measurements [3, 4, 25]. However, all these classes together would not cover a system of QSOs. Yet, there are many classes of QSO need to study. Recently, [21, 23, 36] introduced  $\xi^{(as)}$ -QSO which is a new class of QSO that depend on a partition of the coupled index set (which have couple traits)  $\mathbf{P}_m = \{(i, j) : i < j\} \subset I \times I$ . In case of 2D simplex ( $m = 3$ ),  $\mathbf{P}_3$  have five possible partitions. Studies by [23, 21, 36, 37, 38] investigated the  $\xi^{(as)}$ -QSO that correspond to the point partition and the studies also examined the dynamics.

In [36], the  $\xi^{(s)}$ -QSO related to  $|\xi|=2$  was investigated. Moreover, 36 operators were described and the operators were classified into 20 non-conjugate classes. Despite that, the dynamics of the classes are not fully studied. Therefore, this paper will described the dynamics of  $V_a$  where  $a \in \{0, 0.5, 1\}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some basic concepts are recalled.

### Definition 1.

QSO is a mapping of the simplex

$$S^{m-1} = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \square^m : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1, \quad x_i \geq 0, \quad i = \overline{1, m} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Into itself of the form

$$x'_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^m P_{ij,k} x_i x_j, \quad k = \overline{1, m}, \quad (2)$$

where  $V(x) = x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$  and  $P_{ij,k}$  is a coefficient of heredity which satisfies the following conditions

$$P_{ij,k} \geq 0, \quad P_{ij,k} = P_{ji,k}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m P_{ij,k} = 1. \quad (3)$$

From the above definition, it can be concluded that each QSO  $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$  can be uniquely defined by a cubic matrix  $P = (P_{ijk})_{i,j,k=1}^m$  with condition (3). For  $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ , the set of fixed points by  $Fix(V)$  is denoted. Moreover, for  $x^{(0)} \in S^{m-1}$ , the set of limiting point by  $\omega_V(x^{(0)})$  is denoted.

Recall that a Volterra-QSO is defined by (2), (3) and the additional assumption

$$P_{ij,k} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \notin \{i, j\}. \quad (4)$$

The biological treatment of condition (4) is clear: the offspring repeats the genotype (trait) of one of its parents. One can see that a Volterra-QSO has the following form:

$$x'_k = x_k \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ki} x_i \right), \quad k \in I, \quad (5)$$

where

$$a_{ki} = 2P_{ik,k} - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq k \quad \text{and} \quad a_{ii} = 0, \quad i \in I. \quad (6)$$

Moreover,

$$a_{ki} = -a_{ik} \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{ki}| \leq 1.$$

In [2, 7, 8, 9, 16, 33], this type of Volterra-QSO was intensively studied. The concept of  $\ell$ -Volterra-QSO was introduced in [26]. This concept is recalled as follows. Let  $\ell \in I$  be fixed, and suppose that the heredity coefficient  $\{P_{ij,k}\}$  satisfy

$$P_{ij,k} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \notin \{i, j\} \quad \text{for any} \quad k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}, \quad i, j \in I, \quad (7)$$

$$P_{i_0 j_0, k} > 0 \quad \text{for some} \quad (i_0, j_0), \quad i_0 \neq k, \quad j_0 \neq k, \quad k \in \{\ell + 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (8)$$

Thus, the QSO defined by (2), (3), (7) and (8) is called  $\ell$ -Volterra-QSO.

**Remark 1.**

An  $\ell$ -Volterra-QSO is a Volterra-QSO if and only if  $\ell = m$ .

No periodic trajectory exists for Volterra-QSO [7]. However, such trajectories exist for  $\ell$ -Volterra-QSO [26]. By following [36], each element  $x \in S^{m-1}$  is a probability distribution of the set  $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  be vectors taken from  $S^{m-1}$ .  $x$  is equivalent to  $y$ , if  $x_k = 0 \Leftrightarrow y_k = 0$ . The relation is denoted by  $x \sim y$ . Let  $supp(x) = \{i : x_i \neq 0\}$  be a support of  $x \in S^{m-1}$ . We say that  $x$  is singular to  $y$  and denoted by  $x \perp y$ , if

$supp(x) \cap supp(y) = \emptyset$ . Note that if  $x, y \in S^{m-1}$ , then  $x \perp y$  if and only if  $(x, y) = 0$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  stands for a standard inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

Sets of coupled indexes were denoted by

$$\mathbf{P}_m = \{(i, j) : i < j\} \subset I \times I, \quad \Delta_m = \{(i, i) : i \in I\} \subset I \times I. \quad (\#)$$

For a given pair  $(i, j) \in \mathbf{P}_m \cup \Delta_m$ , a vector  $P_{ij} = (P_{ij,1}, \dots, P_{ij,m})$  is set. Clearly, because of condition (3),  $P_{ij} \in S^{m-1}$ . Let  $\xi_1 = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and  $\xi_2 = \{B_i\}_{i=1}^M$  be some fixed partitions of  $\mathbf{P}_m$  and  $\Delta_m$  respectively i.e.

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \quad B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i = \mathbf{P}_m, \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^M B_i = \Delta_m, \quad \text{where} \quad N, M \leq m. \quad (\#)$$

**Definition 2.**

QSO  $V : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$  given by [2] and [3] is called a  $\xi^{(as)}$ -QSO w.r.t. the partitions  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  (where the “as” stands for absolutely continuous-singular), if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and any  $(i, j), (u, v) \in A_k$ , one has  $P_{ij} \sim P_{uv}$ ;
- (ii) For any  $k \neq \ell, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and any  $(i, j) \in A_k$  and  $(u, v) \in A_\ell$  one has  $P_{ij} \perp P_{uv}$ ;
- (iii) For each  $d \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  and any  $(i, i), (j, j) \in B_d$ , one has  $P_{ii} \sim P_{jj}$ ;
- (iv) For any  $s \neq h, s, h \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  and any  $(u, u) \in B_s$  and  $(v, v) \in B_h$ , one has that  $P_{uu} \perp P_{vv}$ .

In [36], 36 operators of the  $\xi^{(s)}$ -QSO was investigated, where the operators were then classified into 20 non-conjugacy classes. In this paper, the following operators are studied:

$$V_a = \begin{cases} x' = x^2 + 2ayz \\ y' = y^2 + 2(1-a)yz \\ z' = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

**3. FIXED POINT OF  $V_{0.5}$**

In this section, the fixed point of  $V_a$  where  $a = 0.5$  will be discovered. Thus, the operator given by (9) can be rewrite as follows.

$$V_{0.5} = \begin{cases} x' = x^2 + yz \\ y' = y^2 + yz \\ z' = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

**Theorem 1.**

Consider  $V_{0.5} : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  as a quadratic stochastic operator given by (10). One has that  $Fix(V_{19,0.5}) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ .

**Proof**

To find the fixed point of  $V_{0.5}$ , the following system need to be solved:

$$\begin{cases} x = x^2 + yz \\ y = y^2 + yz \\ z = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Now, by subtracting second equation from first equation in system (11), we obtain that

$$x - y = x^2 - y^2 \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (12), two different cases are discussed.

Case 1. If  $x \neq y$ , then the  $x + y = 1$  is obtained. Thus,  $z = 0$ . Moreover, substitute  $z = 0$  in third equation of system (11) this yield

$$0 = 2x(1-x) \quad (13)$$

After solving Eq. (13), the  $x \in \{0, 1\}$  is obtained. It is easy to see the fixed point of  $V_{19,0.5}$  when  $x \neq y$  are  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  because  $x + y + z = 1$ .

Case 2. If  $x = y$ , then  $x + y + z = 1$  can be written as  $z = 1 - 2x$ . Now, after substitute  $z = 1 - 2x$  in third equation of system (11), the following equation is obtained:

$$1 - 2x = (1 - 2x)^2 + 2x(1-x) \quad (14)$$

By solving Eq. (14),  $x = 0$  is obtained. Thus,  $y = 0$  and  $z = 1$ . Therefore, the fixed point of  $V_{0.5}$  when  $x = y$  is  $\{e_3\}$ . This process completes the proof.

**4. DYNAMIC OF  $V_{0.5}$**

In this section, the dynamics of  $V_a$  when  $a = 0.5$  given by (10) is studied by finding the set of limiting point.

Let us introduce the following lines:

$$\ell_1 := \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : x = y\} \quad (\#)$$

$$\ell_2 := \{(x, y, z) \in S^2 : x = z, x \neq y\} \quad (\#)$$

**Proposition 1.**

Suppose that  $V_{0.5} : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  be a QSO. The line  $\ell_1$  is an invariant line under  $V_{0.5}$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$  be an initial point in  $S^2$ . Since  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$  it can be easily observed that  $x^{(0)} = y^{(0)}$ . Thus, the first iteration of  $V_{0.5}$  contain  $x' = (x^{(0)})^2 + 2y^{(0)}z^{(0)}$  and  $y' = (x^{(0)})^2 + 2y^{(0)}z^{(0)}$ . It is easily to see that  $x' = y'$ . Therefore,  $V_{0.5}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$ . Hence,  $\ell_1$  is an invariant line under  $V_{0.5}$ . This process completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.**

Suppose that  $V_{19,0.5} : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  given by (10) be a QSO and let  $x^{(0)} = (x, y, z) \notin \text{Fix}(V_{0.5})$  be any initial point. Then, the following statement holds true.

(1) If  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$ , then  $\omega_{V_{0.5}}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = e_3$ .

(2) If  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in S^2 \setminus \ell_1$ , then  $\omega_{V_{0.5}}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = e_3$ .

**Proof.**

(1) Let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$  be an initial point in  $S^2$ . Thus,  $x^{(0)} = y^{(0)}$  and  $z^{(0)} = 1 - 2x^{(0)}$ . Moreover,  $x' = (x^{(0)})^2 + 2y^{(0)}z^{(0)} = (x^{(0)})^2 + 2x^{(0)}(1 - 2x^{(0)})$ . It is easily to see that  $x' = x^{(0)} - (x^{(0)})^2$ . Suppose that  $f(x) = x - x^2$ , it can be easily checked that  $f(x)$  increasing on  $(0, 0.5)$  and decreasing on  $(0.5, 1)$ . In order to study the dynamic of  $f(x)$ , firstly a fixed point of  $f(x)$  need to be found by solving the equation  $x = x - x^2$ .  $f(x)$  has a fixed point at  $x = 0$ . Moreover,  $f(x) - x < 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $f^{(n+1)}(x) < f^{(n)}(x)$  indicate that the sequence  $\{f^{(n)}(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and bounded. Thus, the sequence  $f^{(n)}(x)$  converge to fixed point  $x^*$  which is  $x^* = 0$ . Therefore,  $x^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ . In the same manner,  $y^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $x^{(n)}$  and  $y^{(n)}$  converge to zero. Thus,  $z^{(n)} \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore, the limiting point is  $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ .

(2) To prove (2) the following claim is needed.

**Claim 1.**

Suppose that  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in S^2 \setminus \ell_1$ , then the  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  exist such that  $V_{(n_k)}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_1$ .

**Proof.**

By contrast, let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in S^2 \setminus \ell_1$  and let  $V^{(n_k)}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \notin \ell_1$  for all  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in \ell_2$ , thus  $x^{(0)} = z^{(0)}$  and  $x^{(0)} \neq y^{(0)}$ . Furthermore,  $x' = (x^{(0)})^2 + y^{(0)}x^{(0)}$  and  $y' = (y^{(0)})^2 + y^{(0)}x^{(0)}$ . On the other hand,  $x' + y' = (x^{(0)} + y^{(0)})^2$ . Since  $0 < x^{(0)} + y^{(0)} < 1$ , it can be observed that  $(x^{(0)} + y^{(0)})^2 < x^{(0)} + y^{(0)}$ . Thus, the new sequence  $\{x^{(n)} + y^{(n)}\}$  converges to zero. Moreover, the sequences  $x^{(n)}$  and  $y^{(n)}$  are positive sequences. Hence,  $x^{(n)}$  converges to zero and  $y^{(n)}$  converges to zero. So  $\exists n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^{(n_k)} = y^{(n_k)} = 0$ , where  $(x^{(n_k)}, y^{(n_k)}, z^{(n_k)}) \in \ell_1$  which is contradiction.

Due to Claim (1), the set of limiting point is  $\omega_{V_{0.5}}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = e_3$  when  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \in S^2 \setminus \ell_1$ .

**5. DYNAMIC OF  $V_a$  WHERE  $a \in \{0,1\}$**

In this section, the behavior of  $V_{19,a}$  when the parameter  $a = 0$  and  $a = 1$  is studied by finding the set of fixed points and the set of limiting point to each operators.

**Proposition 2.**

Consider  $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  be a function given by  $f(x) = x^2$ . Then, the following statement hold true

- (i)  $Fix(f(x)) = \{0,1\}$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_f(x^{(0)}) = 0$  for any  $x^{(0)} \in (0,1)$ .

**Proof.**

(i) The following Eq. (15) must be solved in order to find the fixed points of  $f(x)$ . From Eq. (15) it is easily to see that  $x \in \{0,1\}$ .

$$x = x^2 \tag{15}$$

(ii) Let  $x^{(0)} \in (0,1)$ , so  $f(x^{(0)}) - x^{(0)} < 0$ . Since  $f(x)$  is increasing on  $(0,1)$ , therefore  $f^{(n+1)}(x^{(0)}) < f^{(n)}(x^{(0)})$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This means that the sequence  $\{f^{(n)}(x^{(0)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and bounded. Consequently, it converge to some point  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{x}$  should be a fixed point; that is  $\tilde{x} = 0$ . This means that  $\omega_f(x^{(0)}) = 0$ .

If  $a = 0$ , then the operator in (9) can be rewrite as follows

$$V_0 = \begin{cases} x' = x^2 \\ y' = y^2 + 2yz \\ z' = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

**Theorem 3.**

Consider  $V_0 : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  be a QSO given by (16) and let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \notin \text{Fix}(V_0)$  be an initial point in  $S^2$ . One has that:

- i.  $\text{Fix}(V_0) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ ,
- ii.  $\omega_{V_0}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = e_2$

**Proof.**

(i) To find fixed point of  $V_0$ , we are going to solve the following system

$$\begin{cases} x = x^2 \\ y = y^2 + 2yz \\ z = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

From first equation of system (17), we obtain that  $x = x^2$ . Thus,  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ . If  $x = 1$ , then  $y = z = 0$ , because  $x + y + z = 1$ . On the other hand, after substituting  $x = 0$  in third equation of system (17) we obtain that  $z = 0$  or  $z = 1$ . If  $z = 0$ , then  $y = 1$  and otherwise. Thus, the fixed points are  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \notin \text{Fix}(V_0)$  be an initial point in  $S^2$  since  $x' = x^2$ . Due to proposition (2), the sequence  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero. On the other hand, let  $z' = g(z) + h(x)$ , where  $g(z) = z^2$  and  $h(x) = 2x(1-x)$ . Therefore,  $z^{(n+1)}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = g^{(n)}(z^{(0)}) + h^{(n)}(x^{(0)})$ . It can be easily see that  $h^{(n)}$  depend only on  $x^{(n)}$  and  $x^{(n)}$  converges to zero, then  $h^{(n)}(x^{(0)})$  converges to zero. Furthermore, due to proposition (2), the  $g^{(n)}(z^{(0)})$  converges to zero. Therefore, the sequence  $\{z^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to zero. Furthermore,  $\{y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to one since  $x^{(n)} + y^{(n)} + z^{(n)} = 1$ .

Now, consider  $a = 1$ , then the operator in (9) can be rewritten as follows:

$$V_1 = \begin{cases} x' = x^2 + 2yz \\ y' = y^2 \\ z' = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$



**Theorem 4.**

Let  $V_1 : S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  be a QSO given by (18) and let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \notin \text{Fix}(V_0)$  be an initial point in  $S^2$ . One has that:

- i.  $\text{Fix}(V_1) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ ,
- ii.  $\omega_{V_1}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = e_3$

**Proof.**

(i) To find fixed point of  $V_1$ , the following system have to be solved

$$\begin{cases} x = x^2 + 2yz \\ y = y^2 \\ z = z^2 + 2x(1-x) \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

From the second equation in system (19), we obtain that  $y = 0$  or  $y = 1$ . Now, substitute  $y = 0$  in first equation of system (19) which will yield  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ . If  $x = 0$ , then  $z = 1$  and otherwise. Moreover,  $x = z = 0$ . Thus, the fixed points are  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, 0)$  and  $e_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ .

(ii) Let  $(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \notin \text{Fix}(V_1)$  be an initial point in  $S^2$ , since  $y' = y^2$ . Due to proposition (2), the sequence  $\{y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero. On the other hand, consider that  $M(x, y, z) = x^2 + 2yz = h(x) + f(y, z)$ . Thus,  $f(y, z) = 2yz$  depend only on  $y$  and  $z$ . Now, consider  $f(y, z) = m(y)k(z)$ , where  $m(y) = y$  and  $k(z) = 2z$ . Consequently,  $f^{(n)}(y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) = m^{(n)}(y^{(0)})k^{(n)}(z^{(0)})$  but  $\{m^{(n)}(y^{(0)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero. Therefore,  $\{f^{(n)}(y^{(0)}, z^{(0)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero. Due to proposition (2), the sequence is  $\{h^{(n)}(x^{(0)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{M^{(n)}(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero. Thus,  $x^{(n)}$  converges to zero. Since  $x^{(n)} + y^{(n)} + z^{(n)} = 1$ . Thus,  $\{z^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to 1.

**6. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we investigated the dynamics behavior of  $V_a$  when  $a \in \{0, 0.5, 1\}$ . Moreover, we conclude that the global behavior of  $V_a$  goes to  $e_3$  when  $a = \{0.5, 1\}$ . Further, the global behavior of  $V_a$  goes to  $e_2$  when  $a = 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bernstein S., Solution of a mathematical problem connected with the theory of heredity. *Annals of Math. Statis.* 13(1942) 53-61.
- [2] Dohtani A., Occurrence of chaos in higher-dimensional discrete-time systems, *SIAM J.Appl. Math.* 52 (1992) 1707-1721.
- [3] Ganikhodjaev N. N., Rozikov U. A., On quadratic stochastic operators generated by Gibbs distributions. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* 11 (2006), 467-473.
- [4] Ganikhodjaev N.N., An application of the theory of Gibbs distributions to mathematical genetics. *Doklady Math.* 61(2000), 321-323.
- [5] Ganikhodzhaev N. N., Mukhitdinov R. T., On a class of measures corresponding to quadratic operators, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Rep. Uzb.* (1995), no. 3, 3-6 (Russian).
- [6] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., A family of quadratic stochastic operators that act in  $S^2$ . *Dokl. Akad. Nauk UzSSR.* (1989), no. 1, 3-5. (Russian)
- [7] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., Quadratic stochastic operators, Lyapunov functions and tournaments. *Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* 76 (1993), no. 2, 489-506.
- [8] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., A chart of fixed points and Lyapunov functions for a class of discrete dynamical systems. *Math. Notes.*56 (1994), 1125-1131.
- [9] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., Eshmamatova D. B., Quadratic automorphisms of a simplex and the asymptotic behavior of their trajectories, *Vladikavkaz. Math. Jour.* 8(2006), no. 2,12-28.(Russian).
- [10] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., Karimov A. Z., Mappings generated by a cyclic permutation of the components of Volterra quadratic stochastic operators whose coefficients are equal in absolute magnitude. *Uzbek. Math. Jour. No. 4* (2000), 16-21.(Russian)
- [11] Ganikhodzhaev R., Mukhamedov F., Rozikov U., Quadratic stochastic operators and processes: results and open problems, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 14(2011) 270-335.
- [12] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., Dzhurabaev A. M., The set of equilibrium states of quadratic stochastic operators of type  $V_{\pi}$ . *Uzbek Math. Jour. No. 3* (1998), 23- 27. (Russian)
- [13] Ganikhodzhaev R. N., Abdirakhmanova R. E., Description of quadratic automorphisms of a finite-dimensional simplex.*Uzbek. Math. Jour.* (2002), no.1 7-16. (Russian)
- [14] Hofbauer J., Hutson V. and Jansen W., Coexistence for systems governed by difference equations of Lotka-Volterra type. *Jour. Math. Biology*, 25 (1987) 553-570.
- [15] Hofbauer J. and Sigmund K., The theory of evolution and dynamical systems. Mathematical aspects of selectio, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.
- [16] Jenks, R.D., Quadratic differential systems for interactive population models. *Jour. Diff. Eqs* 5 (1969) 497-514.
- [17] Kesten H., Quadratic transformations: a model for population growth.I, II, *Adv. Appl.Probab.* (1970), no.2, 179--228.
- [18] Li, S.-T., Li D.-M, Qu G.-K., On Stability and Chaos of Discrete Population Model for a Single-species with Harvesting, *Jour. Harbin Univ. Sci. Tech.* 6 (2006) 021.
- [19] Lotka A. J., Undamped oscillations derived from the law of mass action, *J.Amer. Chem. Soc.* 42(1920), 1595-1599.
- [20] Lyubich Yu. I., *Mathematical structures in population genetics*, Springer-Verlag, (1992).
- [21] Mukhamedov F., Jamal A.H. M., On  $\xi^s$  – quadratic stochastic operators in 2-dimensional simplex, In book: *Proc. the 6<sup>th</sup> IMT-GT Conf. Math., Statistics and its Applications (ICMSA2010)*, Kuala Lumpur, 3-4 November 2010, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Malaysia, 2010, pp. 159-172.
- [22] Mukhamedov, Farrukh, Mansoor Saburov, and Izzat Qaralleh. "Classification of  $\xi$  (s)-Quadratic Stochastic Operators on 2D simplex." *Journal of Physics: Conference Series.* Vol.435. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2013.

- [23] Mukhamedov F., Saburov M., Jamal A.H.M., On dynamics of  $\xi^s$ -quadratic stochastic operators, *Inter. Jour. Modern Phys.: Conference Series*, 9 (2012), 299-307.
- [24] Plank M., Losert V., Hamiltonian structures for the n-dimensional Lotka-Volterra equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 36 (1995), 3520-3543.
- [25] Rozikov U.A., Shamsiddinov N.B., On non-Volterra quadratic stochastic operators generated by a product measure. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 27 (2009), no.2, p.353-362.
- [26] Rozikov U.A., Zada A. On  $\ell$  - Volterra Quadratic stochastic operators. *Inter. Journal Biomath.* 3 (2010), 143-159.
- [27] Rozikov U.A., Zada A.  $\ell$  -Volterra quadratic stochastic operators: Lyapunov functions, trajectories, *Appl. Math. & Infor.Sci.* 6 (2012), 329-335.
- [28] Rozikov U.A., Zhamilov U.U., On  $F$ -quadratic stochastic operators. *Math. Notes.* 83 (2008), 554-559.
- [29] Rozikov U.A., Zhamilov U.U. On dynamics of strictly non-Volterra quadratic operators defined on the two dimensional simplex. *Sbornik: Math. 200}* (2009), no.9, 81-94.
- [30] Saburov M., Some strange properties of quadratic stochastic Volterra operators, *World Applied Sciences Journal.* 21 (2013) 94-97.
- [31] Stein, P.R. and Ulam, S.M., Non-linear transformation studies on electronic computers, 1962, Los Alamos Scientific Lab., N.Mex.
- [32] Udvardia F.E., Raju N., Some global properties of a pair of coupled maps: quasi-symmetry, periodicity and synchronicity, *Physica D* 111 (1998) 16-26.
- [33] Ulam S.M., *Problems in Modern Math.*, New York; Wiley, 1964.
- [34] Zakharevich M.I., The behavior of trajectories and the ergodic hypothesis for quadratic mappings of a simplex, *Russian Math. Surveys.* 33 (1978), 207-208.
- [35] Volterra V., *Lois de fluctuation de la population de plusieurs esp<sup>e</sup>ces coexistant dans le m<sup>e</sup> milieu*, Association Franc. Lyon 1926}, 96-98 (1926).
- [36] Mukhamedov, Farrukh, Mansoor Saburov, and Izzat Qaralleh. "On-Quadratic Stochastic Operators on Two-Dimensional Simplex and Their Behavior." *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. Vol. 2013. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.
- [37] Mukhamedov, Farrukh, Izzat Qaralleh, and W. N. F. A. W. Rozali. "On  $\xi$  (a)-quadratic stochastic operators on 2D simplex." *Sains Malaysiana* 43.8 (2014): 1275-1281.
- [38] Galor, Oded. *Discrete dynamical systems*. Springer Science, Business Media, 2007.

## APPENDIX

If any, the appendix should appear directly after the references without numbering, and on a new page.

